The Transcendence of Problem-Based Learning in Higher Education

Scott Michael Steele

Faculty of Business, Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Anglia Ruskin University
Peterborough, United Kingdom

Abstract

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) represents a transformative pedagogical approach that transcends traditional educational paradigms, finding significant application in higher education. PBL shifts the focus from passive reception of knowledge to active student engagement, where learning is driven by complex, real-world problems. This abstract explores the intrinsic properties of PBL and examines its original aspects of linked pedagogies within the context of higher education.

This exploration adopts a doctrinal and socio-legal methodological approach. It critically analyses legal frameworks, educational policies, and pedagogical theories related to PBL and other based learning pedagogies, providing a comprehensive understanding of its impact on higher education. Through this lens, the study examines how PBL et al principles are integrated into educational practices and how they influence student learning outcomes.

Based learning fosters critical thinking, problem-solving, and self-directed learning among students. It encourages learners to engage deeply with the material, collaborate with peers, and apply theoretical concepts to practical scenarios. These attributes align seamlessly with the goals of higher education, where the development of independent, analytical thinkers is paramount.

The originality of based learning lies in its dynamic and student-centered nature. Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, based learning requires students to take an active role in their learning journey, fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility. This approach not only enhances knowledge retention but also prepares students for the complexities of professional environments.

Reflections on based learning practices within higher education reveal several key insights. Students report increased engagement and motivation, attributing this to the relevance and immediacy of problem-solving tasks. Educators note that based learning facilitates a deeper understanding of course material, as students must synthesize information and apply it in meaningful ways.

This abstract aims to illuminate the transformative potential of PBL in higher education, highlighting its properties and original contributions to student learning. The discussion will explore how PBL not only enhances academic outcomes but also equips students with the skills necessary for lifelong learning and professional success.

Keywords: *Problem Based Learning, Creationary Problem Based Learning, Team Based Learning, Contribution Problem Based Learning*

Introduction

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is often championed as a transformative pedagogical approach that disrupts traditional didactic teaching. It shifts the focus from knowledge transmission to student-driven inquiry, where learners engage with real-world problems as a means of constructing knowledge. This article critically examines PBL's theoretical foundations, its integration into various disciplines, and its impact on student learning outcomes.

Beyond its perceived benefits, PBL is often positioned uncritically as a superior alternative to traditional methods. This paper seeks to challenge such assertions by exploring its limitations and comparing it to other contemporary pedagogies, including Team-Based Learning (TBL) and Contribution-Based Learning (CBL). A balance between innovation and pedagogical rigour must be maintained to ensure that educational strategies benefit both students and faculty.

The shifting landscape of higher education demands pedagogical strategies that not only engage learners but also develop critical thinking, collaboration, and independent inquiry. Problem-Based Learning (PBL) serves as a transformative approach, moving beyond traditional didactic instruction towards an experiential and student-centred paradigm. Within this framework, several dynamic methodologies—Creationary PBL, Team-Based Learning (TBL), Contribution-Based Learning (CBL),

and Evidence-Based Learning (EBL)—offer distinct advantages in fostering deep learning, adaptability, and real-world problem-solving abilities. This paper critically evaluates these approaches, situating them within contemporary higher education discourse and exploring their implications for institutional reform, student success, and knowledge production.

Theoretical Foundations of Problem-Based Learning

Defining Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is an instructional method in which students learn through the active exploration of real-world problems. Unlike traditional lecture-based teaching, PBL requires students to take an active role in their learning, developing solutions through inquiry, collaboration, and critical analysis. This student-centered approach fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility, enhancing both knowledge retention and application.

Barrows, who pioneered PBL in medical education, described it as a process where learning is initiated by a problem and students work collaboratively to seek solutions.[1] David Boud elaborated on this by emphasising that PBL not only develops subject-specific knowledge but also equips students with transferable skills applicable across disciplines.[2]

Integration of PBL in Higher Education

PBL has been integrated into various disciplines, particularly in medical, legal, and business education. Universities in the UK, such as the University of Manchester and Queen Mary University of London, have adopted PBL methodologies to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes.

In legal education, PBL aligns with the practical demands of the legal profession. By engaging students in hypothetical legal problems, PBL fosters analytical thinking and legal reasoning, preparing graduates for real-world practice.[3] Similarly, in medical education, PBL has been instrumental in training students to diagnose and manage patient cases effectively.[4] Influence on Student Learning Outcomes Studies indicate that PBL enhances critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaborative skills. [5] By requiring students to engage deeply with course material, PBL fosters a greater understanding of theoretical concepts and their practical applications. [6] Additionally, students report increased motivation and engagement due to the relevance of problem-solving tasks.

Original Aspects of PBL in Higher Education

The originality of PBL lies in its dynamic, student-centered nature. [7] Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, where knowledge is passively received, PBL necessitates active participation. This pedagogical shift cultivates self-directed learning and lifelong learning skills, which are essential for professional success.[8]

Collaborative Learning Environment fosters a collaborative learning environment where students work in groups to analyse and resolve complex problems.[9] This peer-to-peer interaction enhances communication skills and teamwork—competencies that are highly valued in professional settings.[10]

One of the most significant contributions of PBL is its emphasis on real-world application. [11] Students engage with problems that mirror professional challenges, thereby bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. [12] This approach ensures that graduates are better equipped for the complexities of their respective fields. [13]

Challenges and Considerations in PBL Implementation

Despite its numerous benefits, PBL presents certain challenges that institutions must address to optimise its effectiveness. These include:

• Resource-Intensive Implementation: PBL requires significant investment in faculty training, curriculum redesign, and assessment methods. [14]

• Assessment Complexity: Traditional assessment methods may not adequately measure the skills developed through PBL. Alternative assessment strategies, such as formative assessment and reflective portfolios, are often necessary. [15]

• Student Adaptation: Some students may struggle with the transition from passive learning to active problem-solving. Educators must provide adequate support to facilitate this shift. [16]

Conclusion: The Transformative Potential of PBL

Problem-Based Learning represents a transformative shift in higher education pedagogy, offering a dynamic, student-centered approach that enhances learning outcomes. [17] Through its emphasis on

critical thinking, problem-solving, and real-world application, PBL aligns with the goals of higher education to produce independent, analytical thinkers.

The doctrinal and socio-legal analysis presented in this article underscores the value of PBL in legal and medical education, highlighting its impact on student engagement and professional preparedness. While challenges exist, institutions that successfully integrate PBL into their curricula can equip students with the skills necessary for lifelong learning and career success.[18]

As higher education continues to evolve, PBL stands out as a pedagogical approach that not only enhances academic achievement but also fosters the development of adaptable, competent professionals.

Creationary Problem-Based Learning (Creationary PBL)

Creationary PBL reimagines the learning process by encouraging students to construct knowledge through creative problem-solving. Unlike conventional PBL, which often focuses on finding solutions within predefined parameters, Creationary PBL pushes students to innovate, design, and conceptualise novel solutions. This aligns with constructivist theories, particularly Papert's constructionism, which emphasises learning through making.[19]

In practice, Creationary PBL involves multidisciplinary collaboration, where students engage in designing new technologies, policies, or artistic interpretations of complex problems. This methodology fosters creativity, resilience, and an entrepreneurial mindset, making it highly relevant for industries that prioritise innovation.[20] Despite its strengths, challenges arise in assessing open-ended projects and ensuring all students contribute equitably. [21]

A key issue surrounding Creationary PBL is the tension between creative freedom and academic rigour. While open-ended exploration encourages innovation, students may struggle with the absence of clear guidelines.[22] The role of educators thus evolves from instructor to facilitator and mentor, requiring them to balance structure with autonomy.[23] Furthermore, questions regarding intellectual property arise, particularly in settings where students develop marketable products or ideas. Institutions must establish clear frameworks for ownership and ethical responsibility.[24]

Team-Based Learning (TBL)

Team-Based Learning (TBL) is an evidence-supported instructional strategy that enhances collaboration and accountability within structured teams. [25] Unlike traditional group work, where contributions may be uneven, TBL ensures rigorous peer engagement through structured learning phases, including pre-class preparation, readiness assurance tests, and problem-solving activities. [26]

This model aligns with Vygotsky's social constructivist theory, which posits that learning is fundamentally a social process. [27] Research has demonstrated that TBL improves academic performance, student engagement, and the development of soft skills essential for the workplace. [28] However, challenges exist in maintaining team cohesion and managing interpersonal dynamics, particularly in diverse cohorts with varying levels of commitment. [29]

One emerging trend within TBL is the application of digital learning environments. [30] Online platforms facilitate asynchronous and synchronous collaboration, allowing geographically dispersed students to engage in team-based activities. [31] However, digital divides and technological accessibility remain barriers to equity in online TBL experiences. [32] Moreover, assessment of individual contributions in a team setting presents logistical and ethical dilemmas, necessitating multi-modal evaluation strategies such as peer reviews, self-assessments, and reflective writing. [33]

Contribution-Based Learning (CBL)

Contribution-Based Learning (CBL) transforms the educational experience by positioning students as active contributors to knowledge rather than passive recipients. This method aligns with Wenger's Communities of Practice theory, which underscores the value of collaborative knowledge-building. [34] Students engaged in CBL participate in creating and curating educational content, whether through research, digital repositories, or peer-reviewed discussions. This approach enhances critical thinking, ownership of learning, and academic integrity. [35] However, assessing contributions fairly and ensuring all students engage meaningfully remain challenges that require clear rubrics and scaffolded support. [36]

CBL has significant implications for open-access education and knowledge equity. [37] By encouraging students to contribute to publicly available resources, institutions can help democratise learning while empowering students as co-creators of knowledge. However, concerns regarding misinformation, quality control, and academic authority must be addressed. [38] The role of the educator thus shifts to that of a curator and guide, ensuring that contributions meet scholarly standards while fostering an ethos of inclusivity and collaboration. [39]

Institutional and Policy Implications

The adoption of these innovative learning models requires substantial institutional support, policy reform, and faculty development. [40] Universities must invest in professional training for educators, ensuring they possess the necessary skills to facilitate non-traditional learning methods effectively. [41] Additionally, funding and resource allocation must reflect a commitment to pedagogical innovation, with greater emphasis on interdisciplinary collaboration and knowledge production. [42]

From a policy perspective, accreditation bodies and higher education regulators should adapt assessment standards to accommodate diverse learning methodologies. [43] Traditional metrics such as standardised examinations may be inadequate for evaluating PBL, TBL, CBL, and EBL outcomes. Instead, institutions must develop holistic, competency-based evaluation systems that recognise diverse forms of learning. [44]

Conclusion

The integration of Creationary PBL, TBL, CBL, and EBL within higher education represents a shift towards student-centred, research-informed, and collaborative learning models. While each method presents unique benefits, they also pose challenges that require careful implementation, ongoing assessment, and adaptability. As higher education continues to evolve, embracing these methodologies will be pivotal in preparing students not just for academic success, but for lifelong learning and professional adaptability. [45] Future research should explore hybrid models that synthesise these approaches, ensuring education remains innovative, equitable, and empirically robust.

Recommendations for Implementing Problem-Based Learning in Higher Education
Understanding the Pedagogical Shift

The implementation of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) requires a fundamental transformation in the way education is delivered. [46] Traditional learning models often rely on passive knowledge transfer, where students absorb information from lectures and textbooks. [47] PBL, by contrast, is an active learning approach that encourages students to engage in problem-solving, critical thinking, and collaboration. This shift necessitates a redefinition of the educator's role from a knowledge provider to a facilitator who guides students through an inquiry-based learning process.

For PBL to be successful, faculty members must receive appropriate training to understand how to foster student autonomy while providing the necessary scaffolding to support learning. [48] Institutional leadership should prioritise professional development programmes that equip educators with strategies to manage group dynamics, develop effective problem scenarios, and assess student progress. Without this foundational understanding, the transition to PBL may result in confusion and ineffective learning experiences. [49]

Addressing Key Issues and Concerns

A key challenge in implementing PBL is curriculum design. Traditional course structures may not be conducive to a student-driven learning environment. To address this, institutions should redesign courses to support problem-based approaches, ensuring that content aligns with real-world scenarios and encourages interdisciplinary collaboration. When executed effectively, PBL can bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application.

Another crucial consideration is resource allocation. Unlike conventional lectures, which require minimal logistical preparation, PBL requires substantial investment in materials, faculty training, and interactive learning spaces. Dedicated problem-solving rooms, technology-enhanced environments, and access to relevant case studies are essential to providing a conducive learning atmosphere.

Without adequate funding and institutional commitment, PBL implementation may be hindered by logistical constraints.

Assessment in PBL differs significantly from traditional examinations. Since PBL emphasises process-oriented learning, assessment methods should focus on evaluating critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving abilities. Alternative forms of assessment, such as portfolios, peer evaluations, and reflective journals, are better suited to capturing students' progress. [50] However, transitioning to these methods requires careful planning to ensure fairness, consistency, and reliability.

Student readiness is another potential barrier. Many students are accustomed to structured instruction and may struggle with the autonomy required in PBL settings. [51] Institutions should provide orientation sessions that introduce students to self-directed learning principles and equip them with strategies to manage their learning effectively. Additionally, faculty members should incorporate gradual scaffolding techniques to ease students into the PBL process.

Faculty support is essential for the success of PBL. Educators must be adequately prepared to facilitate discussions, manage group dynamics, and provide constructive feedback. [52] Without proper training and institutional backing, faculty may resist the transition to PBL, viewing it as an additional burden rather than an innovative opportunity. Continuous professional development and peer mentoring programmes can help educators adapt to this new paradigm. [53]

Achieving Success in PBL Implementation

Success in PBL implementation begins with clear learning objectives. Institutions must define specific, measurable learning outcomes that align with both academic standards and professional expectations. Without clearly articulated goals, PBL activities risk becoming directionless, leading to student frustration and disengagement.

Scaffolded learning is an essential component of PBL success. Instead of expecting students to become fully autonomous learners immediately, a gradual approach should be adopted. Initially, structured guidance should be provided, with increasing levels of independence introduced over time. This scaffolding ensures that students develop the necessary skills and confidence to engage in self-directed learning effectively. [54]

Encouraging reflection is another critical success factor. Reflection allows students to evaluate their learning experiences, identify strengths and weaknesses, and refine their problem-solving approaches. [55] Institutions should integrate reflective practices, such as guided self-assessments and learning journals, into the PBL framework to enhance metacognition and self-awareness.

Support systems are vital for sustaining PBL initiatives. Academic resources, mentorship programmes, and peer learning groups should be established to provide students with additional support outside the classroom. By fostering a collaborative learning environment, institutions can help students develop the resilience and adaptability needed for PBL success. [56]

Overall Conclusion

Problem based learning is not a new concept, the positive aspects towards engagement, employability, student development, critical analyse, application and team building skills all outweigh the negatives of the inclusion and institutional resources. From the backdrop of COVID-19 the motivation and engagement aspects from students have been varied. PBL and other pedagogies encourage both students and colleagues to take ownership if the information, task and subject matter. By developing and working on projects together leads to greater collaboration and motivation within a module. As discussed above, the likes of Onal [57] et al have argued that developing critical analyse, development and application with PBL is a key learning objective. Universities tend to focus on hard skill development, as well as subject matter. Students develop essential skills such as critical thinking, problem-solving, collaboration and communication skills which are highly valued skills in real world applications.

PBL and the other pedagogies above creates this developmental environmental approach to education, allow for a deeper understanding. Students can diagnose a problem, explore the subject matter in more depth and developing their own style of ownership and autonomy that typical lecture-based teaching fails to do. The positive approach to real world applications to develop the subject matter and foster in student engagement is key within PBL. Students not only thrive from these elements but allow students to see the relevance of their learning journey and how to applies in real live applications. Institutions must be able to challenge their students' skills, develop hard and soft skills in tandem to giving students the skills they require for employment.

Second to direct PBL, in the adaption of Team Based Learning (TBL) and Creationary Problem Based Learning (cPBL). To improve collaboration and teamwork TBL while implementing PBL allows for students to develop their own real-world environment, by allocating jobs, defining roles and managing expectations. Working in an effect group, proving their own input, listening to others and resolving conflicts are critically skills that are sometimes missing from the curricula. By using TBL the improvement of future collaboration, self confidence and empowerment are future positive aspects of developing such pedagogies. Student gain confidence as they take pride in their work and understand the scope of progression and their accomplishments.

From the literature and discussions above, it would clearly set out that PBL, TBL, CBL and CPBL are developing areas. If colleagues were to embed all of these approaches across a curriculum the long-term benefits would supersede the short-term issues such as resources and allocation. Gone are the days when academics were "superstars" and delivered a lecture to hundreds of wide-eyed students eager for that degree of knowledge transfer. Industry, employability and students demand a practical approach to higher education that caters for soft and hard skills development and so that students are ready and at a level required to transition straight into employment. The concerning nature of higher education in the UK will test institutions in the coming years and force them to show students what they will gain, after all a sixty thousand pounds piece of papers just is not enough! Implementing PBL can transform the learning experience, making it more engaging, relevant, and effective.

REFERENCES

[1] H S Barrows, Problem-Based Learning in Medicine and Beyond: A Brief Overview (1996) 68(1) New Directions for Teaching and Learning 3

[2] D Boud, Problem-Based Learning in Education for the Professions (Kogan Page 1985)

[3] A Bradney, Conversations, Choices and Chances: The Liberal Law School in the Twenty-First Century (Hart Publishing 2003)

[4] J Norman and H Schmidt, The Psychological Basis of Problem-Based Learning: A Review of the Evidence (2000) 67(1) Academic Medicine 557

[5] M Dochy, F Segers, P Van den Bossche and D Gijbels, Effects of Problem-Based Learning: A Meta-Analysis (2003) 13(5) Learning and Instruction 533

[6] Conklin TA, 'Making It Personal: The Importance of Student Experience in Creating Autonomy-Supportive Classrooms for Millennial Learners' (2013) 37 Journal of management education 499

[7] Coulombe S, Hardy K and Goldfarb R, 'Promoting Wellbeing through Positive Education: A Critical Review and Proposed Social Ecological Approach' (2020) 18 Theory and research in education 295

[8] Patphol M and others, 'A Learning Management Model to Enhance Creative Self-Directed Learning Skills in Thailand' (2023) 31 The international journal of pedagogy and curriculum 1

[9] Lakkala S and others, 'Teachers Supporting Students in Collaborative Ways—An Analysis of Collaborative Work Creating Supportive Learning Environments for Every Student in a School: Cases from Austria, Finland, Lithuania, and Poland' (2021) 13 Sustainability 2804

[10] K Dolmans, I De Grave, H Wolfhagen and C Van der Vleuten, Problem-Based Learning: Future Challenges for Educational Practice and Research (2005) 40(6) Medical Education 511

[11] Belwal R and others, 'Project-Based Learning (PBL): Outcomes of Students' Engagement in an External Consultancy Project in Oman' (2021) 63 Education & training (London) 336

[12] Schreiber A and Schreiber I, 'Bridging Knowledge Gap: The Contribution of Employees' Awareness of AI Cyber Risks Comprehensive Program to Reducing Emerging AI Digital Threats' (2024) 32 Information and computer security 613

[13] S Albanese and S Mitchell, Problem-Based Learning: A Review of Literature on Its Outcomes and Implementation Issues (1993) 68(1) Academic Medicine 52

[14] Rosário AT and Dias JC, 'Implementing Problem-Based Learning in Marketing Education: A Systematic Review and Analysis' (2024) 14 Education sciences 1139

[15] Manogue M and others, '2.1 Evolving Methods of Assessment' (2002) 6 European journal of dental education 53

[16] Jokar Z and others, 'From Disobedience to Struggle for Adaptation: Nursing Students' Experiences of Attending the Clinical Learning Environment during Covid-19 Pandemic' (2023) 23 BMC psychiatry 308

[17] Acton R, 'Doing Knowledge Work Differently: Problem-Based Projects as Encounters in Coming-to-Know' (2023) 86 Higher education 225

[18] Amoako-Sakyi D and Amonoo-Kuofi H, 'Problem-Based Learning in Resource-Poor Settings: Lessons from a Medical School in Ghana' (2015) 15 BMC medical education 221

[19] Seymour Papert, Mindstorms: Children, Computers, and Powerful Ideas (Basic Books 1980).

[20] Chaudhary M and Biswas A, 'From Mindset to Market: Unveiling the Nexus of Cognition, Behavior and Environment in Igniting Students' E-Entrepreneurial Intentions' (2024) 38 International journal of educational management 1839

[21] John Biggs and Catherine Tang, Teaching for Quality Learning at University (4th edn, Open University Press 2011).

[22] Fleming L, 'Littlebits Offers Students Open-Ended Exploration. There's No Ceiling to Their Creativity and Innovation. They Can Just Keep Learning.', vol 63 (Library Journals, LLC 2017) 57

[23] Robin Fogarty, How to Integrate the Curricula (2nd edn, Corwin 2009).

[24] Simon Marginson, Higher Education and the Common Good (Melbourne University Publishing 2016).

[25] Pardamean B and others, 'Team Based Learning as an Instructional Strategy: A Comparative Study' (2017) 50 The new educational review 134

[26] Larry K Michaelsen, Arletta Bauman Knight and L Dee Fink (eds), Team-Based Learning: A Transformative Use of Small Groups in College Teaching (Stylus 2004).

[27] Lev Vygotsky, Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes (Harvard University Press 1978).

[28] Flecha A, 'Family Education Improves Student's Academic Performance: Contributions from European Research' (2012) 2 Multidisciplinary journal of educational research 301

[29] Lev Vygotsky, Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes (Harvard University Press 1978).

[30] Qazi S and others, 'Fostering TBL Success at Alfaisal University: A Complex Adaptive Systems Approach' (2024) 15 Advances in medical education and practice 935

[31] Mendes W and others, 'Socio-Technical Constraints and Affordances of Virtual Collaboration - A Study of Four Online Hackathons' (2022) 6 Proceedings of the ACM on human-computer interaction 1

[32] David Boud, Ruth Cohen and Jane Sampson (eds), Peer Learning in Higher Education (Routledge 2014).

[33] Etienne Wenger, Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning, and Identity (Cambridge University Press 1998).

[34] Larry K Michaelsen, Arletta Bauman Knight and L Dee Fink (eds), Team-Based Learning: A Transformative Use of Small Groups in College Teaching (Stylus 2004).

[35] John Biggs and Catherine Tang, Teaching for Quality Learning at University (4th edn, Open University Press 2011).

[36] John Hattie, Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement (Routledge 2009).

[37] Yeh S-F, 'Student-Teachers' Perceptions of Second Language Teaching in the CBL Program: Identity Construction and Development' (2017) 8 Advances in language and literary studies 21

[38] John Biggs and Catherine Tang, Teaching for Quality Learning at University (4th edn, Open University Press 2011).

[39] Simon Marginson, Higher Education and the Common Good (Melbourne University Publishing 2016).

[40] Foote K and others, 'Enabling and Challenging Factors in Institutional Reform: The Case of SCALE-UP' (2016) 12 Physical review. Physics education research 010103

[41] Larry K Michaelsen, Arletta Bauman Knight and L Dee Fink (eds), Team-Based Learning: A Transformative Use of Small Groups in College Teaching (Stylus 2004).

[42] Lev Vygotsky, Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes (Harvard University Press 1978).

[43] Dunn L, 'Work-Integrated Learning and Professional Accreditation Policies : An Environmental Health Higher Education Perspective' (2018) 19 International journal of work-integrated learning 111

[44] Paul A Kirschner, John Sweller and Richard E Clark, 'Why Minimal Guidance During Instruction Does Not Work' (2006) 41(2) Educational Psychologist 75.

[45] Bogdány E, Kiglics K and Obermayer N, 'Evaluating Digital Intelligence on Growth Mindset Focus: Q-Methodology Study on Students' Openness', European conference on knowledge management (Academic Conferences International Limited 2024)

[46] Frissa Rizkihati Prastika, I Wayan Dasna and Aman Santoso, 'Implementation of Problem-Based Learning-Stem Strategy on Students' Conceptual Understanding and Critical Thinking in Fundamental of Chemical Equilibrium' (2022) 28 Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan (Jakarta) 1

[47] Johnson PA, 'Actively Pursuing Knowledge in the College Classroom' (2011) 8 Journal of college teaching and learning 17

[48] Goh D and Kale U, 'From Print to Digital Platforms: A PBL Framework for Fostering Multimedia Competencies and Consciousness in Traditional Journalism Education' (2015) 70 Journalism & mass communication educator 307

[49] McCarlie VW and Orr DL, 'Health Science Education: Reviewing a Framework for Problem-Based Learning' (2010) 74 Journal of dental education 480

[50] Van Wyk MM, 'Student Teachers' Views Regarding the Usefulness of Reflective Journal Writing as an Eportfolio Alternative Assessment Strategy : An Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis' (2017) 15 Gender & behaviour 10208

[51] Woolard T, 'No Teacher Left Behind: The Science (and Psychology) of Sustaining PBL PD' (ProQuest Dissertations & Theses 2022)

[52] Ikpeze C, 'Small Group Collaboration in Peer-Led Electronic Discourse: An Analysis of Group Dynamics and Interactions Involving Preservice and Inservice Teachers' (2007) 15 Journal of technology and teacher education 383

[53] Goosney JL, Smith B and Gordon S, 'Reflective Peer Mentoring: Evolution of a Professional Development Program for Academic Librarians' (2014) 9 Partnership 1

[54] Jeon J and Park S, 'Self-Directed Learning versus Problem-Based Learning in Korean Nurse Education: A Quasi-Experimental Study' (2021) 9 Healthcare (Basel) 1763

[55] Köpeczi-Bócz T, 'Cognitive-Dissonance-Based Educational Methodological Innovation for a Conceptual Change to Increase Institutional Confidence and Learning Motivation' (2025) 15 Education sciences 378

[56] Hew KF and others, 'Fostering Student Cognition in Computer-Supported Online Collaborative Learning Environment', Educational Media and Technology Yearbook (Springer New York 2012)

[57] Onal S, Nadler J and O'Loughlin M, 'Applying Theory to Real-World Problems: Integrating Service-Learning into the Industrial Engineering Capstone Design Course' (2017) 12 International journal for service learning in engineering 57