



Sustainable Education and Education for Sustainability: Importance of Sustainable Development in Higher Education

Mirna Patricia Bernal Martínez¹, Roberto Enrique Figueroa Molina², Carlos Alberto Salazar Díaz³

Abstract

Sustainability is a symbiosis between economic development, human development and the conservation of the environment in the current global context. Sustainable Development (SD) can also be defined as the set of actions and thoughts that condition the way in which contemporaries meet their needs without exhausting or putting at risk the capacity and resources of future generations to meet their own needs and expectations of quality of life. Beyond a theoretical perspective, Sustainability represents an emerging paradigm of new knowledge production and innovation, which was originally promoted and maintained by UNESCO as a multidisciplinary and transdisciplinary research agenda with a political and social base. Therefore, this document contains a brief review of the literature about the linkage of Higher Education and SD or Sustainability. Through Grounded Theory method and from a theoretical sampling, a conceptual exploration guided by semantic networks designed by Atlas.ti software (version 7.5) is accomplished. Discussion is focused on similarities and differences between the concepts of Sustainable Education (SE) and Education for Sustainability (ES) and the contributions of both to educational field. Conclusions highlight the sociological and epistemological value of sustainability in the university context.

Keywords: Sustainable Education, Sustainability, Higher Education

Introduction

Sustainability is being incorporated to universities classrooms and curriculum, this interaction causes multi-perspective and problem-driven knowledge production, innovation and research. In this sense, the aim of this study is to identify empirical research approaches on sustainability in university contexts in recent scientific literature.

Methodology

This study is based on qualitative research features because it is useful for "contributing insights from existing or new concepts that may help to explain social behavior and thinking" (Yin, 2016: 9). Since Sustainability and SD represents a substantive and emergent area of knowledge and research, it was feasible to adopt Grounded Theory that "is an iterative process in which data collection and analysis occur simultaneously, with each informing the other" (Thornberg & Charmaz, 2011: 41) also it requires systematic comparisons to construct concepts. This is achieved by Theoretical Sampling defined as an "purposeful selection of a sample according to the developing categories and emerging theory. Initial decisions are based on a general subject or problem area, not on a preconceived theoretical framework" (Coyne, 1997: 629). The sample consist of emprirical research articles accepted or published in peer-reviewed journals between 2016 and 2017 years (N=28), by performing keyword combiation searches (university or higher education + sustainability or sustainable + students or teacher) in papers allowed in databases (Taylor&Francis and ScienceDirect). Qualitative data analysis software (*Atlas.ti,* 7.5) was used for generating data representation and semantic layout (Friese, 2012). Finally, in the first cycle coding method Descriptive and Holistic Coding were used, then in the second cycle Focused and Axial Coding were applied (Saldaña, 2012).

Results and Discussion

The review and systematization of the research articles allows the grouping of the studies into fourth (4) investigative approaches (see: Figure 1) due to similarities in research objectives and conclusions they presented:

¹ Universidad del Atlántico (Colombia)

² Universidad del Atlántico (Colombia)

³ Universidad del Atlántico (Colombia)



International Conference

The Future of Education



- 2. Research, Policy and Teaching Experiences on Sustainability (RPTES): this research approach explores the link between technical knowledge and work experience on real-world sustainability issues (climate change, water and food shortage, environmental and social justice, etc.). In general, the conclusions serve to inform the effectiveness of the pedagogical strategies, to propose the deconstruction of the disciplinary structure or to criticize the policy development on education for sustainability (Aikens, McKenzie & Vaughter, 2016; Sohn & Min, 2017; Rasmussen, 2016; O'Flaherty & Liddy, 2017; Hoveskog, Halila, Mattsson, Upward & Karisson, 2017; Camargo & Gretzel, 2017; Aleixo, Leal & Miranda-Azeiteiro, 2016).
- 3. Embedding Sustainability on Teachers and Professionals Education (ESTPE): in this studies the main purpose is transforming student's relationship with the environment and society by reflecting on their own attitudes, individual consciousness and cultural awareness. Researchers consider that sustainability education implies a set of cognitive and affective learning outcomes, for that reason transformational pedagogical experiences are inquired in opposition to indoctrination practices (Kalsoom & Khanam, 2017; Xia, Rosly, Wu, Bridge & Pienaar, 2016; Mintz & Tal, 2016; Estrada-Vidal & Tójar-Hurtado, 2017; Paige, 2016; Evans, Stevenson, Lasen, Ferreira y Davis, 2017; Felgendreher & Löfgren, 2017).
- 4. Epistemological and Sociological Approaches to Sustainability (ESAS): university students learn while they acquire values, social habits and ideologies about shared well-being. Researchers inquiry social-learning process and ascertain knowledge and discourses dissemination that supports reformist perspectives on green transformation and human behavioral changes for a more sustainable and just society. To sum up, this studies focus on participant's communication and active involvement for sharing meanings as a central component of societal transition towards sustainable development (Shephard & Brown, 2016; Sengers, Weiczorek & Raven, 2016; Healy & Debski, 2016; Hugé, Mac-Lean & Vargas, 2017; Dlouhá, Henderson, Kapitulcinová & Mader, 2017; Tejedor, Segalàs & Rosas, 2017).

It should be noted that propounded research approches are not excluyent nor simplistic because exist metodological and theoretical conections among them. However studies of SCF and ESTPE approaches evidence objectivity and depersonalization proper to disciplines with incipient interdisciplinarity with the sciences of education for that they are compatible with ES. In contrast, the ESTPE and ESAP approaches exploit direct interaction with the participants and includes pedagogical and learning theories that is why they are close to SE.



Figure 1. Research Approaches and Research Types codes and family codes.



Source: Network view generated by Atlas.ti





Conclusion

SE and ES in higher education context point out the importance of promoting the environmental advocacy in relation with the balance between professional life and personal life of educational community members. Currently studies on Sustainability inform the impact of implementing transformative and organizational learning models, decision making and even extra-curricular activities on educational settings, taking into account the international community development goals. Relevant research initiatives employed interdisciplinary case courses that involve researchers, teachers and students with challenges of theoretical and methodological rigor.

References

- Aikens, K., McKenzie, M., & Vaughter, P. (2016). Environmental and sustainability education policy research: a systematic review of methodological and thematic trends. Environmental Education Research, 1-27. DOI:10.1080/13504622.2015.1135418
- [2] Aleixo A. M., Leal, S., & Azeiteiro, U. M. (2016). Conceptualization of sustainable higher education institutions, roles, barriers, and challenges for sustainability: An exploratory study in Portugal. Journal of Cleaner Production. Vol. 172, 1664-1673. DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.11.010
- [3] Camargo, B. y Gretzel, U. (2017). What do tourism students know about sustainability and sustainable tourism? An exploratory study of Latin American student. Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism. Vol. 17:2, 101-117. DOI:10.1080/15313220.2017.1294038
- [4] Cebrián, G. (2016). The I3E model for embedding education for sustainability within higher education institutions. Environmental Education Research, 1-19. DOI:10.1080/13504622.2016.1217395
- [5] Coyne, I. (1997). Sampling in qualitative research. Purposeful and theoretical sampling; merging or clear boundaries? Journal of Advanced Nursing, Vol. 26(3), 623-630. DOI:10.1046/j.1365-2648.1997.t01-25-00999.x
- [6] Decamps, A., Barbat, G., Carteron, J-C., Hands, V., & Parkes, C. (2017). Sulitest: a collaborative initiative to support and assess sustainability literacy in higher education. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 15, 138-152. DOI:10.1016/j.ijme.2017.02.006
- [7] Dlouhá, J., Henderson, L., Kapitulčinová, D., & Mader, C. (2017). Sustainability-oriented higher education networks: Characteristics and achievements in the context of the UN DESD. Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol. 172, 4263-4276. DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.239
- [8] Egger, A. E., Kastens, K. A., & Turrin, M. K. (2017). Sustainability, the Next Generation Science Standards, and the Education of Future Teachers. Journal of Geoscience Education, Vol. 65(2), 168-184. DOI:10.5408/16-174.1
- [9] Estrada-Vidal. L. I., & Tójar-Hurtado, J. C. (2017). College student knowledge and attitudes related to Sustainability Education and environmental health. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, Vol. 237, 386-392. DOI: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2017.02.030.
- [10] Evans, N., Stevenson, R., Lasen, M., Ferreira, J., & Davis, J. (2017). Approaches to embedding sustainability in teacher education: a synthesis of the literature. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, Vol. 63, 405-417. DOI: 10.1016/j.tate.2017.01.013
- [11]Felgendreher, S., & Löfgren, A. (2017). Higher education for sustainability: can education affect moral perceptions? Environmental Education Research, Vol. 24(4), 479-491. DOI:10.1080/13504622.2017.1307945
- [12] Filho, W., Jim, Y., Londero, L., Veiga, L., Miranda, U., Caeiro, S., & Gama, L. (2017). Identifying and overcoming obstacles to the implementation of sustainable development at universities. Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences, Vol.14(1), 93-108. DOI:10.1080/1943815X.2017.1362007
- [13] Friese, S. (2012). Qualitative Data Analysis with ATLAS.ti. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- [14]Healy, N., & Debski, J. (2016). Fossil fuel divestment: implications for the future of sustainability discourse and action within higher education. Local Environment, Vol. 22(6), 699-724. DOI:10.1080/13549839.2016.1256382
- [15]Hoveskog, M., Halila, F., Mattsson, M., Upward, A., Karlsson, N. (2017). Education for Sustainable Development: Business Modelling for Flourishing, Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.112



The Future of Education



- [16] Hugé, J., Mac-Lean, C., & Vargas, L. (2017). Maturation of sustainability in engineering faculties - From emerging issue to strategy? Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.143
- [17]Kalsoom, Q., Khanam, A., (2017). Inquiry into Sustainability Issues by Preservice Teachers: A Pedagogy to Enhance Sustainability Consciousness. Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.047
- [18]Kapitulčinová, D., Atkisson, A., Perdue, J. y Will, M. (2017). Towards integrated sustainability in higher education – Mapping the use of the Accelerator toolset in all dimensions of university practice. Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.050
- [19]Katayama, J., Örnektekin, S., & Demir, S. S. (2017). Policy into practice on sustainable development related teaching in higher education in Turkey. Environmental Education Research, DOI:10.1080/13504622.2017.1360843
- [20]Mintz, K., & Tal, T. (2016). The place of content and pedagogy in shaping sustainability learning outcomes in higher education. Environmental Education Research, 1-23. DOI:10.1080/13504622.2016.1204986
- [21]O'Flaherty, J. y Liddy, M. (2017) The impact of development education and education for sustainable development interventions: a synthesis of the research. Environmental Education Research. DOI:10.1080/13504622.2017.1392484
- [22]Opoku, A., & Egbu, C. (2017). Students' Perspectives on the Relevance of Sustainability Literacy in a Postgraduate Built Environment Program. International Journal of Construction Education and Research, Vol. 14:1, 46-58. DOI:10.1080/15578771.2017.1286417
- [23] Paige, K. (2016). Educating for sustainability: environmental pledges as part of tertiary pedagogical practice in science teacher education. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 1-17. DOI:10.1080/1359866X.2016.1169504
- [24] Rasmussen, K. (2016). The emergence and institutional co-determination of sustainability as a teaching topic in interdisciplinary science teacher education. Environmental Education Research, 1-17. DOI:10.1080/13504622.2016.118262
- [25]Saldaña, J. (2012). The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers. (2a Ed.). London: SAGE Publications.
- [26]Sengers, F., Wieczorek, A., & Raven, R. (2016). Experimenting for sustainability transitions: A systematic literature review. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. DOI:10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.031
- [27] Shephard & Brown (2016). How democratic is higher education for sustainable development? Discourse Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education, 1-13. DOI:10.1080/01596306.2016.1150254
- [28]Sohn, I., & Min, D. (2017). Analysis of sustainability in materials education and research in Korea. Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy, Vol. 126(1-2), 33-40. DOI:10.1080/03719553.2016.1245820
- [29]Stough, T., Ceulemans, K., Lambrechts, W., & Cappuyns, V. (2017). Assessing Sustainability in higher education curricula: a critical reflection on validity issues. Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.02.017
- [30]Tejedor, G., Segalàs, J. y Rosas, M. (2017). Transdisciplinarity in higher education for sustainability: how discourses are approached in engineering education. Journal of Cleaner Production. DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.085
- [31] Thornberg, R., & Charmaz, K. (2012). Grounded Theory. In: Stephen Lapan, Marylynn Quartaroli & Frances Riemer (Eds.). Qualitative research. An Introduction to Methods and Designs. (pp. 41-68).
- [32]Xia, B., Rosly, N., Wu, P., Bridge, A., & Pienaar, J. (2016). Improving sustainability literacy of future quantity surveyors. Smart and Sustainable Built Environment, Vol. 5(4), 325-339. DOI:10.1108/SASBE-07-2016-0015.
- [33]Yin, R. K. (2016). Qualitative Research from Start to Finish. (2a Ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.