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## Background to the study

- Teacher's understanding of prior knowledge of students?
- Teacher incentivise students to determine their gaps in knowledge
- First years: particularly problematic HOW CAN TEACHERS CUSTOMISE LECTURES TO THE NEEDS OF FIRST YEAR STUDENTS?


## Introduction

- Feedback is one of the top ten influences on learning
- Feedback is defined as 'information provided by an agent (e.g. teacher, peer, book, parent, or one's own experience) about aspects of one's performance' (Hattie, 2009, p.174).
- Feedback = response to performance
- Based on what students have done visually/verbally
- feedback from a teacher fills a knowledge gap that has been identified by the teacher, is not a general form of instruction (Hattie \& Timperley, 2007; Sadler, 1989)


## Problem

- learning outcomes on course outlines indicate learning objectives of the course (not prior knowledge or threshold concepts).
- when this knowledge is made explicit, defined and clear, it becomes accessible to the students


## Aim of the paper

1) to examine a way in which feedback could act as a mediator to encourage self-directed student participation, and
2) looks at how feedback could function as the teacher's tool to uncover and build on the students' prior knowledge.

## Research Question

'How can feedback act as a mediator to motivate student engagement, and function as the teacher's tool to uncover and build on the students' prior knowledge?'

## Framework:

- Hattie and Timperley's 'Visible Teaching and Learning Theory' describes feedback as key to student learning.
- Feedback = most powerful when it passes from the student to the teacher
- The teacher scaffolds learning to develop selfregulated learners
- Explores:
- how feedback from online tests can enable teachers to quickly determine the relevant prior knowledge of first-years and thus enable lecturers to customize their lectures


## Setting

- First year Introduction to biology course
- Degree in medical field
- Wide range of school backgrounds, language differences, socio-economic backgrounds
- First term of first year S1: 6 weeks of teaching
- More than ten years lecturing this course


## Methods

- 292 first-year medical students
- three prior knowledge online tests: Cell Biology, DNA and RNA replication
- Multiple-choice questions
- Students' depth of understanding and misconceptions emerged from analysis of their responses
- Results were used to customise lectures
- At the end of the six-week lecture series students answered a questionnaire on their perception of the effectiveness of these tests and lecture-feedback sessions to their learning


## Emerging Results

Table 1: Student responses on their learning approach and the usefulness of prior-knowledge tests to their learning

| Agree | Neutral | Disagree <br> $(\%)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Studying for the semester exam requires only | 20,5 | 21,6 | 57,9 |
| memorizing of course content |  |  |  |
| Completing the tests make me think | 70,5 | 21,6 | 7,9 |
| Doing the prior-knowledge tests helped me to | 62,3 | 22,9 | 14,7 |
| understand course material better |  |  |  |


|  | Agree <br> $(\%)$ | Neutral <br> $(\%)$ | Disagree <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prior-knowledge tests helped me to prepare better for | 71,2 | 18,8 | 9,9 |
| course material | 5,8 | 30,8 | 63 |
| Prior-knowledge tests were not very challenging | 63,4 | 18,8 | 24,7 |
| The way that I intend to study for examinations has |  |  |  |
| changed since the beginning of the year |  |  |  |

Table 2: Students' perceived value of the tutorial-type lectures

| Agree | Neutral | Disagree |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I found that the tutorial lecture sessions | 81,8 | 13,4 | 4,5 |
| helped me to understand the course |  |  |  |
| material | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ |  |
| Tackling the exercises in the tutorial sessions | 84,2 | 13 | 2,7 |
| makes me think | 18,7 | 44,5 | 36,6 |
| The tutorial-type lecture sessions were not |  |  |  |
| challenging |  |  |  |

Table 3: Example 1 of questions at different cognitive levels and the students' responses

|  | Question presented on prior-knowledge test | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic recall-type | Cytosine makes up 42\% of the nucleotides in a | 69.5 |
| question posed | sample of DNA from an organism. Approximately |  |
| what percentage of the nucleotides in this sample |  |  |
| will be thymine? | a) $58 \%$ <br> b) $42 \%$ |  |
| c) $8 \%$ |  |  |
| d) $16 \%$ |  |  |


|  | Question presented on prior-knowledge test | Percentage (\%) <br> correct <br> responses <br> ( $n=292$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher-order-type | In an analysis of the nucleotide composition of a | 41.5 |
| question based on | molecule of DNA, which of the following |  |
| the threshold | combinations of base pairs will be found? |  |
| concept tested above | a) $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C}$ |  |
| for the recall-type | b) $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{A}$ |  |
| question | c) $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{T}$ |  |
|  | d) $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{G}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}$ |  |

Table 4: Example 2 of questions at different cognitive levels and the students' response

|  | Question posed on prior-knowledge test | Percentage of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic recall-type | In DNA replication, the resulting daughter | students with |
| question posed | molecules contain one strand of the original | (n=292) |
| parental DNA and one new strand. What is the |  |  |
| explanation for this phenomenon? |  |  |
| a) DNA replication is semi-conservative |  |  |
| b) DNA replication is not conservative |  |  |
| c) DNA replication is conservative |  |  |

Table 4: Example 2 of questions at different cognitive levels and the students' response

|  | Question posed on prior-knowledge test | Percentage with |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| correct responses |  |  |
| $(n=292)$ |  |  |

Table 5: Grade comparison across three years for semester examinations

|  | 2018 | 2014 | 2013 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number that wrote <br> examinations | 426 | 322 | 375 |
| Number that <br> passed <br> examinations | 383 | 254 | 276 |
| \% pass rate | 89.9 | 78.9 | 73.6 |
| Average | 67.5 | 65.2 | 57.8 |

## Conclusion

- when students are involved in online learning activities that enable them to self-identify gaps in their knowledge
- Teachers are key to creating opportunities for learning and providing students with alternative approaches to their studying.

