The Future of Education

Metacognitive Skills and Academic Motivation among University Students: Significance and Relationships

Venelina Stoeva

University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria

Abstract

The aim of the present study is to explore the levels and relationship between metacognitive skills and academic motivation among university students. The following two research instruments were used: 1) the Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (Schraw & Dennison, 1994) and 2) a questionnaire assessing the level of academic motivation (Angel Velichkov, 2005). 151 survey participants between the ages of 18 and 56 participated in the study. A larger number of female individuals completed the questionnaires. The predominant profile is of students studying humanities. The factor of the profile of instruction has also been analyzed - the predominant profile is of students studying humanities, mainly psychology and pedagogy. The largest number of students is from Plovdiv University "Paisii Hilendarski". 66% of them are studying in bachelor's and 34% in master's program. Scales relating to declarative and procedural cognition, regulation of cognitive functions among university students and medium levels of academic anxiety. A correlational analysis was also conducted to establish the relationship between the two constructs. No statistical significant correlational dependencies were found. Higher levels of metacognitive awareness were associated with higher levels of academic motivation. The results confirm the importance of forming and developing cognitive skills for carrying out a qualitative educational process, as well as for reducing high levels of anxiety related to academic achievements. Metacognitive awareness is a skill necessary to carry out an effective educational process. The development of skills to manage and guide cognitive processes is associated with the acquisition of competencies applicable to every area of an individual's life.

Keywords: metacognitive skills, academic anxiety, regulation

1. Introduction

We live in a world of constant change. The immense variety of forms, colors, and nuances often hinders dedication to a specific activity or sphere of daily life. Awareness in everyday life can be part of the educational process, which in turn is linked to the continuously evolving sciences. Metacognitive awareness can be defined as the ability to understand and regulate cognitive processes in every aspect of human life (Schraw, 2001).

2. Theoretical Perspectives

2.1. Metacognitive awareness

The formation of cognitive skills is a process that encompasses abilities for planning, writing skills, and information processing (Ramadhanti et al., 2021). Metacognitive skills are associated with thinking about the process of thinking itself and decision-making, which in turn requires introspection (Çini et al., 2023). Although metacognitive skills have an individual character, they cannot be considered outside of interpersonal relationships. Several levels of metacognitive awareness can be identified (Çini et al., 2023):

- 1) Individual level includes the executive skills of the individual;
- 2) Social level interactions with others are a fundamental factor in the development of metacognition (Taub et al., 2021);
- 3) Environmentally related level the environment is associated with the formation of learning habits and the acquisition of models for processing information.

Several contemporary studies indicate that metacognitive awareness is an integral part of the learning process, and most importantly, it serves as a key component for forming and understanding learning strategies (Räisänen et al., 2020; Tuononen et al., 2019)

Schraw and his colleagues describe two dimensions of cognitive awareness (Schraw & Dennison, 1994; Kallio et al., 2018):

- Knowledge about cognition;
- Regulation of cognition.

Knowledge about cognition is more of a declarative knowledge, i.e., knowledge about facts (Tuononen et al., 2023). Declarative knowledge is part of long-term memory, where information about acquired knowledge is stored.

The regulation of cognition is related to skills for planning and monitoring the process of performing a given activity (Schraw & Dennison, 1994). These two dimensions cannot be considered independently of each other.

Metacognitive awareness can also be viewed through the lens of problem-solving skills (Mostowf et al., 2023). They include both assessment of future knowledge and retrospective evaluation of past performance (Le Berre et al., 2016).

Metacognitive skills are necessary to achieve greater engagement of learners in this process, which can lead to effective results (Abdelrahman, 2020).

2.2. Academic motivation

Academic motivation can be examined in three dimensions: 1) "Want-to", 2) "Have-to", and 3) lack of motivation (Alhadabi et al., 2023). They are also part of the self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2017). Intrinsic motivation expresses the idea that an activity is performed because of personal satisfaction and the meaning found in it. Extrinsic motivation is externally determined. Lack of motivation indicates a reluctance to engage in any activity. All of this can be related to basic needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Motivation also includes components such as persistence in pursuing goals, self-control, and resilience. Academic motivation is necessary for success in the educational process (Villalustre et al., 2024). The habits and skills formed in high school should be realized in university. The choices made and the efforts invested are also related to the readiness for certain behaviors in which the individual engages.

Academic motivation can also be examined in the context of life satisfaction (Chen et al., 2023). In this sense, it serves as a driving force for the efforts that students are willing to exert in pursuing their goals at university. High levels of life satisfaction can be associated with persistence in





achieving success, as well as with reinterpreting failure from several different perspectives. Such cognitive regulation also influences the skills for planning activities, engagement, and dedication to them.

The present study:

By setting achievable and realistic goals, students exert more effort to achieve the desired results (Urban et al., 2021). It is necessary to conduct a more detailed and in-depth study regarding the relationship between levels of metacognitive awareness and academic motivation among students pursuing Bachelor's and Master's degrees. In the present study, it is expected that higher levels of cognitive regulation are associated with high levels of academic motivation. The aim of the present study is to trace the levels of metacognitive awareness and academic motivation among students at the Bachelor's and Master's degree levels.

3. Method

3.1. Participants

The total number of participants in the present study is 150 individuals. 92.7% of them are female, while 7.3% are male. They range in age from 18 to 56 years old. All are enrolled in universities in various cities in Bulgaria. At the time of the study, 66.3% of participants are pursuing Bachelor's degrees, while 33.7% are pursuing Master's degrees. The highest percentage of participants are studying humanities (81.6%), followed by technical specialties (17.7%), with one participant studying medicine (0.7%). Participation in the study is voluntary.

3.2. Tools

3.2.1. Metacognitive Awareness Inventory

The questionnaire "Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI), Schraw & Dennison (1994)" is used to investigate the two main levels of metacognitive awareness: **knowledge** (17 items) and **regulation** (35 items). The methodology consists of 52 statements rated on a Likert scale. Knowledge is associated with the deliberate use of strategies in the learning process with the purpose to achieve optimal results. Regulation pertains to students' awareness of employing strategies and their ability to monitor the effectiveness of these strategies.

Knowledge includes five subscales: 1) declarative knowledge, 2) procedural knowledge, and 3) conditional knowledge. The scale for declarative knowledge consists of 8 items; the scale for procedural knowledge comprises of 4 items; and the scale for conditional knowledge contains 5 items. Regulation is investigated across four subscales: 1) planning, 2) understanding the monitoring process, 3) purposeful management of learning strategies, 4) Debugging Strategies, and 5) evaluation. The first two subscales consist of 7 items each. The third subscale contains 10 items, while the fourth and fifth subscales include 5 and 6 items respectively.

3.2.2. Academic Motivation Questionnaire

Angel Velichkov's Academic Motivation Questionnaire consists of 11 items, 7 of which reflect high academic motivation and 4 of which reflect the opposite.

4. Result Analysis

The analysis of the results was conducted using the SPSS 27 Software. Descriptive statistics were analyzed, as well as correlation analysis between levels of metacognitive awareness and academic motivation.

4.1 Descriptive statistics

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for the factors of gender and age.

Statistics

		Gender	Age	Education	Educational profile
Ν	Valid	150	150	150	140
	Missing	0	0	0	10
Mean	-	1,93	31,71	1,33	2,86
Std. Error of	Mean	,021	,821	,039	,049
Median		2,00	32,00	1,00	3,00
Mode		2	20	1	3
Std. Deviation		,262	10,054	,473	,582
Variance		,068	101,078	,224	,339
Skewness	Skewness		,266	,714	-1,308
Std. Error of	Skewness	,198	,198	,198	,205
Kurtosis		9,054	-1,089	-1,510	4,767
Std. Error of	Kurtosis	,394	,394	,394	,407
Minimum		1	18	1	1
Maximum		2	56	2	5
Percentiles	25	2,00	21,00	1,00	3,00
	50	2,00	32,00	1,00	3,00
	75	2,00	39,00	2,00	3,00



Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 include the frequency characteristics of participants – gender, age, education, and university education profile.

<u>Table 2.</u> Distribution of participants by *gender*

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Male	11	7,3	7,3	7,3
	Female	139	92,7	92,7	100,0
	Total	150	100,0	100,0	

From the presented data, it becomes clear that the number of individuals of female gender is significantly higher than the number of individuals of male gender.

Table 3. Distribution of participants by age

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
√alid	18	2	1,3	1,3	1,3
	19	8	5,3	5,3	6,7
	20	20	13,3	13,3	20,0
	21	14	9,3	9,3	29,3
	22	4	2,7	2,7	32,0
	23	3	2,0	2,0	34,0
	24	3	2,0	2,0	36,0
	25	1	,7	,7	36,7
	26	2	1,3	1,3	38,0
	27	2	1,3	1,3	39,3
	28	3	2,0	2,0	41,3
	30	6	4,0	4,0	45,3
	31	3	2,0	2,0	47,3
	32	8	5,3	5,3	52,7
	33	3	2,0	2,0	54,7
	34	5	3,3	3,3	58,0
	35	5	3,3	3,3	61,3
	36	4	2,7	2,7	64,0
	37	6	4,0	4,0	68,0
	38	4	2,7	2,7	70,7
	39	8	5,3	5,3	76,0
	40	4	2,7	2,7	78,7
	41	3	2,0	2,0	80,7
	42	5	3,3	3,3	84,0
	43	1	,7	,7	84,7
	44	1	,7	,7	85,3
	45	6	4,0	4,0	89,3
	46	6	4,0	4,0	93,3
	47	2	1,3	1,3	94,7
	48	3	2,0	2,0	96,7
	50	1	,7	,7	97,3
	52	2	1,3	1,3	98,7

54	1	,7	,7	99,3
56	1	,7	,7	100,0
Total	150	100,0	100,0	

The largest number of individuals are 20 years old. Individuals above the age of 44 are the least represented.

Table 4. Distribution of participants by education

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	In Bachelor's program	100	66,7	66,7	66,7
	In Master's program	50	33,3	33,3	100,0
	Total	150	100,0	100,0	

The majority of participants are enrolled in Bachelor's degree programs, while a smaller portion are enrolled in Master's degree programs. This fact could be a contributing factor to the results showing levels of academic motivation and awareness in the educational process.

Table 5. Distribution of participants by university education profile

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
	Humanities	114	76,0	81,4	95,7
	Technical disciplines	5	3,3	3,6	99,3
	Medicine	1	,7	,7	100,0
	Total	140	93,3	100,0	
Missing	System	10	6,7		
Total		150	100,0		

The predominant profile is of participants studying in humanities specialties; significantly fewer are those who have chosen technical specialties in university. There is only 1 participant studying Medicine.

4.2. Presentation of the results for levels of academic motivation among students

Table 6. Statistics

N	Valid	150
	Missing	0
Mean		24,25
Median		25,00
Mode		26
Std. Deviati	on	5,194
Variance		26,979
Skewness		-,908
Std. Error o	f Skewness	,198
Kurtosis		1,072
Std. Error o	f Kurtosis	,394
Minimum		6
Maximum		33

4.3. A correlation analysis using Spearman's method was conducted on Angel Velichkov's Academic Motivation Questionnaire. The data is presented in Table 7.

Table 7. Correlations

Correlations

													10. I	
Norm Norm <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8. Everything</td><td></td><td>regularly</td><td></td></t<>											8. Everything		regularly	
Provide of the set o					2. I often	3. I am	4. I strive	5. I am not	6. I	7. When I	we learn here is	9. I often	visit the	
Norm Norm <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1. I strive to</td><td>seek</td><td>determined</td><td>to study</td><td>interested</td><td>regularly</td><td>am not</td><td>uninteresting to</td><td>seek</td><td>library or</td><td>11. I</td></t<>				1. I strive to	seek	determined	to study	interested	regularly	am not	uninteresting to	seek	library or	11. I
Burker Harber				acquire	additional	to achieve	only within	in the	attend all	interested, I	me, and	additional	search for	regularly
Index Reading Reading <threading< th=""> <threading< th=""> <thre< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>lasting</td><td>literature on</td><td>high grades</td><td>the</td><td>theoretical</td><td>lectures</td><td>skip</td><td>sometimes I</td><td>informatio</td><td>additional</td><td>take</td></thre<></threading<></threading<>				lasting	literature on	high grades	the	theoretical	lectures	skip	sometimes I	informatio	additional	take
Indiance				knowledge	educational	in all	necessary	details of	because I	lectures	wonder about	n from	materials	notes
Base to <				in all core	topics that	subjects I	minimum	academic	find them	and	the purpose of	the	on the	during
Sameworks i i aks in in constants (100) 286 210 287 <th2< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>disciplines.</td><td>interest me.</td><td>study.</td><td>limits.</td><td>disciplines.</td><td>interesting.</td><td>seminars.</td><td>learning.</td><td>lecturers.</td><td>Internet.</td><td>lectures.</td></th2<>				disciplines.	interest me.	study.	limits.	disciplines.	interesting.	seminars.	learning.	lecturers.	Internet.	lectures.
No Notational Big (2) entered in the second of	Spearman's	1. I strive to	Correlation	1,000	,288	,210	-,287	-,229	,299	-,285	-,196	,191	,170	,274
	rho	acquire lasting	Coefficient											
		knowledge in all	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,010	,000	,005	,000	,000	,016	,019	,038	,001
2 1 state state		core disciplines.	N	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
instruct initial interaction interactinteraction interaction		2. I often seek	Correlation	,288	1,000	,211	-,316	-,392	,123	-,216	-,259	,382	,455	,385
Induction Induction <t< td=""><td></td><td>additional</td><td>Coefficient</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		additional	Coefficient											
main main <th< td=""><td></td><td>literature on</td><td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td><td>,000</td><td></td><td>,010</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td><td>,134</td><td>,008</td><td>,001</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td></th<>		literature on	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,010	,000	,000	,134	,008	,001	,000	,000	,000
0. 1 an carelesion 2.21° 2.10° $-2.23°$ $-2.23°$ $-2.23°$ -1.19 -1.19 -1.59 -1		that interest me.	N	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
externment is		3. I am	Correlation	,210 [*]	,211 ^{**}	1,000	-,233**	-,127	,249**	-,139	-,159	,275**	,217**	,189 [*]
antime hypin Equipation 0.70 </td <td></td> <td>determined to</td> <td>Coefficient</td> <td></td>		determined to	Coefficient											
93595 n n<		achieve high	Sig. (2-tailed)	,010	,010		,004	,121	,002	,089	,051	,001	,008	,021
4. L andre to Correlation		grades in all subjects I study.	N	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
bit control control <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol< th=""> <thcont< td=""><td></td><td>4. I strive to</td><td>Correlation</td><td>-,287**</td><td>-,316**</td><td>-,233**</td><td>1,000</td><td>,476^{**}</td><td>-,206*</td><td>,197[*]</td><td>,263**</td><td>-,195[*]</td><td>-,304**</td><td>-,223**</td></thcont<></thcontrol<></thcontrol<>		4. I strive to	Correlation	-,287**	-,316**	-,233**	1,000	,476 ^{**}	-,206*	,197 [*]	,263**	-,195 [*]	-,304**	-,223**
minimum infinits N 160 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.011 0.015 0.150		study only within								0.45		0.47		
Immunitation N 150		the necessary	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,004	<u> </u>	,000	,011	,015	,001	,017	,000	,006
S. I am not Correlation -,729 -,749 -,740 -,710 -,710 ,710 -,710 -,710		minimum innits.	N			150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
$\begin{tabular}{ \begin{tabular}{ \begin{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ \begin{tabular}{ \begin{tabular}{ tabu$		5. I am not interested in the	Correlation Coefficient	-,229	-,392	-,127	,476	1,000	-,129	,184	,292	-,257	-,351	-,316
of academic N decipitions. 160 150		theoretical details	Sig. (2-tailed)	,005	,000	,121	,000	-	,116	,024	,000	,002	,000	,000
displayes. displayes. <thdisplayes.< th=""> displayes. displaye</thdisplayes.<>		of academic	Ν	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
b. If regulary attend allebrase because 1 find Sig. (2+tailed)		disciplines.	Operatoria	000**	100	0.40**		100	4 000	450**	450**		405	00.4 ^{**}
backwall find Sig. (2-anied) 0.00 $()00$ 0.00 $0.$		attend all lectures	Coefficient	,299	,123	,249	-,206	-,129	1,000	-,452	-,458	,268	,135	,294
$\begin{array}{ them interesting N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150$		because I find	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,134	,002	,011	,116		,000	,000	,001	,099	,000
7. When I am not Correlation $-,286^\circ$ $-,216^\circ$ $-,130$ $,194^\circ$ $-,462^\circ$ 1000 2.87° $-,106$ $-,274^\circ$ interested, I skp Coefficient 0.000 <td></td> <td>them interesting.</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>150</td>		them interesting.	Ν	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
interested, i kkp Coefficient Image: coeffic		7. When I am not	Correlation	-,285**	-,216**	-,139	,197 [*]	,184 [*]	-,452 ^{**}	1,000	,287**	-,188 [*]	-,105	-,274**
lectures and Sig. (2-tailed) 0,000 0,008 0,089 0,015 0,024 0,000 0,021 0,000 0,021 0,000 0,021 0,000 0,021 0,000 0,021 0,000 0,021 0,000 0,001 150		interested, I skip	Coefficient											
seminars. N 150 15		lectures and	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,008	,089	,015	,024	,000		,000	,021	,200	,001
8. Everything we Correlation 196 296 ^o 159 263 ^o 292 ^o 468 ^o 287 ^o 1.000 237 ^o </td <td></td> <td>seminars.</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>150</td>		seminars.	Ν	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
uninteresting to sig. (2-tailed) 0.016 0.001 0.000		8. Everything we learn here is	Correlation Coefficient	-,196 [*]	-,259 ^{**}	-,159	,263 ^{**}	,292 ^{**}	-,458**	,287**	1,000	-,357 ^{**}	-,213 ^{**}	-,321 ^{**}
me, and N sometimes I wonder about the purpose of learning. 150 </td <td></td> <td>uninteresting to</td> <td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td> <td>,016</td> <td>,001</td> <td>,051</td> <td>,001</td> <td>,000</td> <td>,000</td> <td>,000</td> <td></td> <td>,000</td> <td>,009</td> <td>,000</td>		uninteresting to	Sig. (2-tailed)	,016	,001	,051	,001	,000	,000	,000		,000	,009	,000
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		me, and	N	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		wonder about the												
Internation Image: control lation 1.191		purpose of												
9. Folder Seek Contribution (191 (362 (273 (195) (283) (188) (188) (190) (290) (213) additional Coefficient (191 (19			Correlation	404*	000**	075**	405*	057**	000**	400*	~F7**	4 000	000**	040**
additional Coefficient Image: Coefficient Coefficient Image: Coefficient <thimage: coefficient<="" th=""> Image: Coefficient</thimage:>		9. I often seek	Coofficient	,191	,382	,275	-,195	-,257	,208	-,188	-,357	1,000	,290	,213
Internet. N 150 .000 .001 .001 .002 .001 .001 .002 .001 .001 .003 .003 .000 .003 .003 .000 .003 <t< td=""><td></td><td>information from</td><td>Sig (2 toiled)</td><td>010</td><td>000</td><td>001</td><td>017</td><td>002</td><td>001</td><td>021</td><td>000</td><td></td><td>000</td><td>000</td></t<>		information from	Sig (2 toiled)	010	000	001	017	002	001	021	000		000	000
1000000000000000000000000000000000000		the lecturers	Sig. (z-taileu)	,019	,000	,001	,017	,002	,001	,021	,000		,000	,009
Inc. Integrating Conficient ,433 ,217 -,304 -,331 ,133 -,103 -,213 ,290 1,000 ,220 visit the library or visit the library or search for Coefficient ,038 ,000 ,008 ,000 ,009 ,200 ,009 ,000 ,000 ,007 additional internet. N 150			Correlation	170*	150	217**	20.4**	251**	130	105	212**	200**	1 000	220**
search for Sig. (2-tailed) .038 .000 .008 .000 .000 .009 .200 .009 .000 .007 additional N 150		visit the library or	Coefficient	,170	,400	,217	-,304	-,351	,135	-,105	-,213	,290	1,000	,220
additional N 150 15		search for	Sig. (2-tailed)	038	000	008	000	000	000	200	000	000		007
materials on the Internet. Internet. <t< td=""><td></td><td>additional</td><td>N</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td><td>,000</td><td>,099</td><td>,200</td><td>,009</td><td>,000</td><td></td><td>,007</td></t<>		additional	N	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,099	,200	,009	,000		,007
$ \begin{array}{ $		materials on the		150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
11. I regularly Correlation take notes during Coefficient .274" .385" .189' 223" 316" .294" 274" 321" .213" .220" 1.000 Index notes during take notes during Coefficient Coefficient .000 .001 .000 .001 .000		Internet.												
take notes during Coefficient Image: Coeffici		11. I regularly	Correlation	,274**	,385	,189 [°]	-,223**	-,316	,294**	-,274**	-,321**	,213**	,220**	1,000
Sig. (2-tailed) ,001 ,000 ,021 ,006 ,000 ,001 ,000 ,009 ,007 . N 150		take notes during	Coefficient											
N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150		lectures.	Sig. (2-tailed)	,001	,000	,021	,006	,000	,000	,001	,000	,009	,007	
			Ν	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

 $^{\ast\ast}.$ Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

 $^{\ast}.$ Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Some correlation dependencies are found. Individuals of female gender are more likely to seek additional sources of information related to the educational process, which is associated with spending more time in the library. They also demonstrate a stronger inclination toward acquiring lasting knowledge compared to individuals of male gender. This could be attributed to the fact that the number of women in the study is significantly higher than the number of men. No other statistically significant correlations are established.

4.2. Presentation of results using the Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI) Methodology

_		Declarative Knowledge	Procedural Knowledge	Conditional Knowledge	Planning	Comprehension Monitoring	Information Management Strategies	Debugging Strategies	Evaluation	
Ν	Valid	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mean		5,73	2,93	3,90	5,34	5,27	8,13	4,51	4,43	
Median		6,00	3,00	4,00	6,00	5,00	9,00	5,00	5,00	
Mode		8	4	4	6	5	9	5	5	
Std. Dev	viation	1,867	1,028	1,015	1,460	1,479	1,540	,792	1,348	
Variance)	3,485	1,056	1,030	2,132	2,187	2,371	,627	1,817	
Skewnes	SS	-,514	-,580	-,695	-,649	-,672	-,975	-1,687	-,717	
Std. Skewnes	Error of ss	,198	,198	,198	,198	,198	,198	,198	,198	
Kurtosis		-,653	-,823	-,250	-,626	-,116	,678	2,262	-,305	
Std. Erro	or of Kurtosis	,394	,394	,394	,394	,394	,394	,394	,394	
Minimum	า	1	1	1	2	1	3	2	1	
Maximur	n	8	4	5	7	7	10	5	6	

Table 8. Statistics

4.3. A Spearman correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between metacognitive skills and academic motivation. The data is presented in Table 9.

Correlations								
2. Loften 3. Lam 4. Lstrive 5. Lam not 6. L 7. When L		10. I						
1. I strive to seek determined to study interested in regularly am not 8. Everything we	9. I often	regularly visit	11. I					
acquire additional to achieve only within the attend all interested, I learn here is	seek	the library or	regularly					
lasting literature on high grades the theoretical lectures skip uninteresting to m	e, additional	search for	take					
knowledge educational in all necessary details of because I lectures and sometimes I	information	additional	notes					
in all core topics that subjects I minimum academic find them and wonder about the	from the	materials on	during					
disciplines. interest me. study. limits. disciplines. interesting. seminars. purpose of learnin	g. lecturers.	the Internet.	lectures.					
Spearman's Declarative Correlation ,214 ,223 ,205 -,164 -,201 ,146 -,139 -,223	7 ,212	,174	,214					
rho Knowledge Coefficient								
Sig. (2-tailed) ,009 ,006 ,012 ,045 ,014 ,074 ,091 ,000	5 ,009	,033	,009					
N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	0 150	150	150					
Correlation ProceduralCoefficient,140,101,164-,100-,030,013,029,01	5 ,159	,090	,119					
Knowledge Sig. (2-tailed) ,087 ,219 ,045 ,225 ,719 ,873 ,728 ,85	9 ,052	,273	,147					
N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	0 150	150	150					
Correlation .193 .264 .096 092 .088 014 115 11	4 ,223	,180	,281					
Knowledge Sig. (2-tailed) .018 .001 .245 .265 .282 .861 .161 .16	6 .006	.028	.001					
N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	0 150	,0=0	150					
Correlation 280 310 147 - 288 - 214 047 - 250 - 100	0 205	302	151					
Coefficient Coefficient <thcoefficient< th=""> <thcoefficient< th=""></thcoefficient<></thcoefficient<>	,200	,002	,101					
N (50 (50 (50 (50 (50 (50 (50 (50 (50 (50	1,012	,000	,065					
Correlation	- 150	150	150					
Comprehension Coefficient ,228 ,148 ,060 -,225 -,155 ,141 -,143 -,07	5 ,144	,236	,195					
Monitoring 0.9 (2 tabb) 0.005 ,072 ,466 ,006 ,059 ,086 ,080 ,35	9,078	,004	,017					
150 150 <td>0 150</td> <td>150</td> <td>150</td>	0 150	150	150					
Information Coefficient ,126 ,095 ,042 ,024 ,029 ,071 -,078 -,05	9,074	,134	,121					
Management Sig. (2-tailed) ,125 ,249 ,608 ,771 ,726 ,386 ,345 ,47	6 ,368	,103	,141					
Strategies N 150 15	0 150	150	150					
Correlation 0.095 038 057 042 .095 178 055	4 ,145	,132	,077					
Strategies Sig. (2-tailed) .146 .246 .643 .489 .614 .249 .029 .24	1.077	.108	.349					
N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	0 150	150	150					
Correlation .228 .244 .105 161 117 005 122 06	9 .207	.205	.179					
Sig. (2-tailed) 005 003 200 049 154 948 138 40	3 011	, 112	028					
N 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	0 150	,012	,020					



From the data presented, it is evident that weak correlations are found between the skills of understanding and observing cognitive processes, the skill of planning, and the tendency to seek additional information and literature in the learning process. Declarative knowledge correlates with the effort to take notes in university, the strive for academic success, and the acquisition of lasting knowledge. Conditional knowledge correlates with the tendency to seek additional information on topics that spark students' interest.

5. Conclusions

The present study investigates the levels of cognitive regulation and knowledge among students from Bulgaria, as well as the levels of academic motivation. Several key conclusions can be drawn from the obtained data: 1) there are noticeable gender differences regarding academic motivation. Female participants exhibit higher levels of engagement and motivation for learning compared to males. However, no significant correlation is found between metacognitive awareness and levels of academic motivation; 2) no age differences are identified among students concerning levels of metacognitive awareness and academic motivation.

The results of the study indicate an interest in the material being studied but a lack of additional engagement with materials beyond what is required in university. There is internal self-discipline but without a strong desire for knowledge supplementation and expansion.

No statistically significant demographic influences are observed regarding cognitive regulation and knowledge. Nevertheless, data from Gutierrez de Blume & Montoya (2021) study suggest that the MAI questionnaire can be used as a reliable means of studying students.

The presented data from the study could serve educational purposes. Practices that universities can implement in the education of their students to achieve academic success and a sense of well-being among students can be derived from them. In some already conducted studies, it is shown that students do not have adequate assessment of their cognitive awareness when dealing with problem-solving (Pesout & Nietfeld, 2021; Sidi, Torgovitsky, Soibelman, Miron-Spektor, & Ackerman, 2020; Urban M. & Urban K., 2021). In order to increase levels of cognitive regulation and knowledge, tasks that students could solve in university should stimulate the formation of skills rather than knowledge. Qualitative, not quantitative measurements of learning should be assessed through objective criteria.

5.1. Limitations of the current study and suggestions for future research

The data from the current study are based on a sample with a predominance of female participants (92.7%). Therefore, conducting further research with a more balanced sample is necessary to verify whether the results would be maintained at these levels. The data was collected based on self-reports, which poses a risk of socially desirable responses. This could also be observed through other behavioral and objective criteria to refine the results themselves. Metacognitive awareness can be linked not only to academic motivation but also to other psychological constructs to more accurately account for dependencies influencing the educational process in university.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdelrahman, P., (2020). Metacognitive awareness and academic motivation and their impact on academic achievement of Ajman University students. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04192</u>
- [2] Alhadabi, A., Al-Harthy, I., Aldhafri, S., Alkharusi, H. (2023). Want-to, have-to, amotivation, grit, self-control, and tolerance ambiguity among university students: latent profile analysis. BMC Psychology, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01298-w
- [3] Çini, A., S. Järvelä, M. Dindar, J. Malmberg (2023). How multiple levels of metacognitive awareness operate in collaborative problem solving. Metacognition and Learning, 18:891–922, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-023-09358-7</u>
- [4] Chen, C., Zhu, Y., Xiao, F., Que, M. (2023). Academic Motivation and Social Support: Mediating and Moderating the Life Satisfaction and Learning Burnout Link. Psychology Research and Behavior Management 2023:16 4583–4598
- [5] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11, 227–268
- [6] Gutierrez de Blume, A. P., & Montoya, D. M. (2021). Differences in metacognitive skills among undergraduate students in education, psychology, and medicine. Revista Colombiana de Psicología, 30(1), 111–130. https://doi.org/10.15446/rcp.v30n1.88146
- [7] Kallio, H., Virta, K., & Kallio, M. (2018). Modelling the Components of Metacognitive Awareness. International Journal of Educational Psychology, 7(2), 9–122. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.17583/ijep.2018.278</u>
- [8] Le Berre, A.-P., et al.: (2016). Differential compromise of prospective and retrospective metamemory monitoring and their dissociable structural brain correlates. Cortex 81, 192–202
- [9] Mostowf, S., Hyup Kim, J., Yu, Ch., Seo, K., Wang, F., Oprean, D. (2023). The Effect of Metacognitive Judgments on Metacognitive Awareness in an Augmented Reality Environment. D. D. Schmorrow and C. M. Fidopiastis (Eds.): HCII 2023, LNAI 14019, pp. 347–358, 2023. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-35017-7_22</u>
- [10] Pesout, O., & Nietfeld, J. L. (2021). How creative am I?: Examining judgments and predictors of creative performance. Thinking Skills and Creativity, 40, Article 100836. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2021.100836. Article 100836.
- [11] Räisänen, M., Postaref, L., & Lindblom-Ylänne, S. (2020). Students' experiences of study-related exhaustion, regulation of learning, peer learning and peer support during university studies. European Journal of Psychology of Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-020-000512-2
- [12] Ramadhanti, D., & D. P. Yanda (2021). Students' Metacognitive Awareness and Its Impact on Writing Skill. International Journal of Language Education, Volume 5, Number 3, 2021, pp. 193-206, ISSN: 2548-8457 (Print) 2548-8465 (Online), Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v5i3.18978</u>
- [13] Ryan R, Deci E. Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation development, and wellness. New York: Guilford

Publications

- [14] Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 19, 460–475. doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1994.1033
- [15] Schraw, G. (2001). Promoting general metacognitive awareness. In H. J. Hartman (Ed.), Metacognition in learning and instruction (pp. 3– 16). pp: Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-94-017-2243-8_1. Neuropsychology and Cognition, (vol 19)
- [16] Sidi, Y., Torgovitsky, I., Soibelman, D., Miron-Spektor, E., & Ackerman, R. (2020). You may be more original than you think: Predictable biases in self-assessment of originality. Acta Psychologica, 203, Article 103002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2019.103002. Article 103002
- [17] Tuononen, T., Parpala, A., & Lindblom-Ylänne, S. (2019). Complex interrelations between academic competences and students' approaches to learning Mixed-methods study. Journal of Further and Higher Education, 44(8), 1080–1097. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2019.1648776</u>
- [18] Tuononen, T., Hyytinen, H., Räisänen, M., Hailikari, T., Parpala, A. (2023). Metacognitive awareness in relation to university students' learning profiles. Metacognition and Learning (2023) 18:37-54, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-022-09314-x
- [19] Taub, M., Azevedo, R., Rajendran, R., Cloude, E. B., Biswas, G., & Price, M. J. (2021). How are students' emotions related to the accuracy of cognitive and metacognitive processes during learning with an intelligent tutoring system? Learning and Instruction, 72, 101200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2019.04.001</u>



[20] Urban, K., Pesout, O., Kombrza, J., Marek Urban, M. (2021). Metacognitively aware university students exhibit higher creativity and motivation to learn. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2021.100963</u>, Article 100963

[21] Villalustre, L., Cueli, M., Zarzuelo, D. (The Bidirectional Relationship between Meta-Creativity and Academic Performance in University Students). Educ. Sci., 14, 252. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14030252