UCL-IOE, Department of Psychology and Human Development





Digital Storytelling (DST)

88888888888888

Digital Storytelling A process of generating a digital story on a digital platform.

This story is both written and enriched with multimedia components.

(Armstrong 2003; Lambert 2010)



Digital Storytelling (DST)

8888888888	Experimentation with (digital) multimodal text design is necessary for students' development as readers and writers.
Digital	DST fosters critical thinking
Storytelling	DST promotes cultural competence
	** "playful tinkering"

(Yang & Wu, 2012; Niemi et al., 2014; Hwang et al., 2023; Grant & Bolin, 2016; Fariziah Nur Humairoh 2023; Marsh, 2006; Vincent,2006; Burnett, 2010; Kress, 2010; Kress, 2021; Parry & Taylor, 2021)



Digital Storytelling (DST) & struggling writers

- Reluctant writers and students who perform at (very) low levels in writing are able to show highly communicative skills when they integrate words with other semiotic resources.
- DST enhances writing skills, especially for struggling writers.

(Daiute 1992; Vincent 2006; Robin, 2016; Foley, 2013; Miller, 2010; Sylvester & Greenidge, 2009)

Multimodal Literacies and Digital Storytelling



Green's 3D Model

Operational

Focus on the skills of reading, writing, speaking, and listening in digital

Cultural Discover and express meaning within a cultural context. Critical Step back, make questions, do meta-analysis work on digital texts.

UCL

Dyslexia

Frith's three-level framework (1997,2002)

Biological level

Cognitive level

Behavioural level

New definition:

- Dyslexia is a set of processing difficulties that primarily affect reading and spelling (Holden et al., 2024).
- Dyslexia's progression is influenced by both genetic and environmental factors (Carroll et al., 2024).
- Dyslexia often overlaps with other developmental difficulties.



Dyslexia and writing challenges

The not-so simple view of writing

(Berninger & Winn, 2006)



Research design & participants

Pre-experimental A- B design (observing improvements on the same individuals over time);

Mixed methods approach

Participants' ID	Gender	Age (years)	Grade	Diagnosis	
P1	Male	12			
P2	Male	12			
P3	Female	12			
P4	Male	12			
P5	Male	12		Specific	
P6	Female	11	6th	learning	
P7	Male	12		6th	difficulties -
P8	Male	12		dyslexia	
P9	Female	12			
P10	Female	11			
P11	Female	12			
P12	Female	12			
P13	Male	11			

2-hour Collaborative activity

Participating students were informed about the activities via treasure hunt.

> 2-hour Collaborative activity

Group discussion was carried out, aiming to answer potential questions and provided further information. 2-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

Students chose their topic between suggested.

Groups were split up based on their choice of topic with students who choose the same topic being in the same group. 4-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

Students identified the purpose of their stories and their audience.

Through brainstorming, students shared their knowledge about the topic.

2-hour

activity

2-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

Students identified areas they wish to explore further about the topic.

> 2-hour collaborative activity

Students conducted follow-up research on the topic in the school library.

2-hour Individual activity

Students created story maps on paper to organise story elements and ideas.

> 2-hour Individual activity

Students created their story on paper. Sentence fragments were provided as scaffolding.

2-hour Individual activity

Students revised their work based on a self-assessment checklist.

> 4-hour Collaborative activity

Students received feedback and comments.

Individual

Students made final edits to their work.

> 2-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

Students discussed in their group and found means for their story dissemination.

UC

2-hour collaborative activity

Students were introduced to Storyjumber. 2-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

Students chose their topic between suggested. Students identified the purpose of their stories and their audience.

4-hour Individual/

collaborative

activity

2-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

Students identified areas they wish to explore further about the topic.

> 2-hour collaborative activity

Students conducted follow-up research on online resources.

Groups were split up based on their choice of topic with students who choose the same topic being in the same group.

Through brainstorming, students shared their knowledge about the topic.

UCL

4-hour Individual activity

Students organised the key elements of story plot in the boxes of the digital storyboard.

10-hour Individual activity

Students was typing the script in the boxes of the digital storyboard, while adding images, sounds, and voice recordings. 4-hour Individual activity

Students revised their work using a self-assessment checklist and spellchecker as supportive tools. 4-hour Collaborative activity

Students received feedback and comments through group discussions.

dual ivity

Students made final edits to their work.

2-hour Individual/ collaborative activity

2-hour

activity

Individual

Students discussed in their group and found means for their story dissemination.



How might digital storytelling influence the writing skills of primary school students with dyslexia, including spelling when using the keyboard, semantic difficulties, and self-regulation behaviours?

- The greatest reductions were in stress errors (median reduction: 0.27; 95% CI: 0.12–0.44, p=0.001; effect size: 1.99)
- orthographic errors (median reduction: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.08–0.24, p=0.01; effect size: 2.40),
- rimary punctuation, grammatical, and phonological errors also were decreased significantly.

UCL





- The semantic errors with the most significant reduction were omissions (p-value of 0.004 and Cohen's D=1.66).
- Repetitions and missing interword space words both had significant reductions with p-values of 0.028 and moderate effect sizes (Cohen's D=0.87 and 0.86, respectively).



Self-regulation	Baseline	Intervention	p-value
behaviours	Median (min,max;IQR)	Median (min,max;IQR)	-
1.1.2.c Make research on	2.0	3.0	0.006
the topic of writing;	(1.0, 3.0; 1.0)	(2.0, 4.0; 0.8)	
determine the digital			
sources to research			
(Planning)			
1.1.2.d d. Organise the	2.5	3.0	0.002
structure of the plot in the	(2.0, 3.0; 0.5)	(2.0, 4.0; 0.5)	
digital storyboard			
(Planning)			
1.2.2.a. Select modes of	1.0	3.5	0.001
convening the message	(1.0, 2.0; 1.0)	(2.5, 4.0; 0.9)	
(Self- selecting modes)			
1.3.1.d. Check and	2.0	3.0	0.006
correct the spelling of the	(1.5, 3.0; 1.4)	(3.0, 3.0; 0.0)	
words and the			
punctuation. (Self-			
monitoring)			
1.3.3.a. Make final	2.0	3.0	0.002
changes including those	(1.5, 3.0; 0.5)	(2.0, 3.5; 0.5)	
suggested by peers			
(Revising/finalising			
1.4.1.a. Select means of	2.0	3.0	0.005
dissemination (Self-	(1.0, 2.5; 0.9)	(2.5, 4.0; 0.8)	
selecting means)			

Themes (TM)	Subthemes (STM)	Number of participants	Number of times coded
1. Goals setting	1.1 Identification of the writing	6	13
	purpose		
	1.2 Determination of the intended	9	20
	audience		
	1.3 Identification of the main idea	10	19
2. Planning	2.1 Customisation	7	10
	2.2Child-friendly design	10	11
	2.3 Functional Advantages of Digital	5	6
	Storyboarding		
	2.4 Organisation	8	15
	2.5 Mediate handwriting difficulties	6	7
3. Self-selecting modes	-	2	2
4. Self-monitoring	4.1 Corrections and Changes	10	16
	4.2 Identify writing mistakes	7	12
5. Revising/finalising	5.1 Commenting and Feedback	11	20
	5.2 Attitudes towards changes	4	5
Self-selecting dissemination means	-	4	5
7. Independence	7.1Features of DST platform	8	10
	7.2 Idea development	4	5



P5: "You could change the size of boxes just by clicking. On paper you have to erase, then to draw again, then to erase and so on. This is really boring and frustrating". (2. Planning -2.2 Child-friendly design)

P8 : "I liked that I did not have to just write everything. I could mix colours, pictures and words to show what I was imaging. I could activate my imagination in choosing the modes. If I thought of something sad, I would pick dark colors and a sad music to match it". (3. Self-selecting modes) P7 : "It was better because they were clearly separated. I knew exactly where to place each element of my story. I did not have to erase the box to make it bigger and write, like on storymaps on paper." (2. Planning- 2.4 Organisation)

P4 : "The computer underlined, showed my spelling mistakes, so I knew when something was wrong. It made it easier to correct them before I forgot."

(4. Self-monitoring -4.2 Identify writing mistakes)

Results-RQ2: How might digital storytelling influence the perceptions of students with dyslexia about themselves as writers?

Themes (TM)	Subthemes (STM)	Number of participants	Number of times coded
1. Negative feelings towards traditional writing		13	29
2. Neutral attitudes towards traditional writing	-	10	12
3. Negative self-perception as writers	-	7	9
	4.1 Idea challenges	12	21
	4.2 Transcription challenges	10	18
1 Ministry difficultion	4.3 Semantic difficulties	4	5
4. Writing difficulties	4.4 Executive function difficulties	5	5
	4.5 Expression difficulty	11	16
	4.6 Cognitive overload	3	3
	5.1 Diverse experiences	9	11
5. Familiarity with DST platform	5.2 Interest in exploring DST process	6	7

P13: "When I writing a story I get anxious, because I think I will make orthographic mistakes, I will make mistakes" (1. Negative feelings towards traditional writing) P3: "I like it when the topic is my choice (2. Neutral attitudes towards traditional writing)

P8 : "I am not a good writer. I make a lot of spelling mistakes and mistakes in using words"

(3. Negative self-perception as writers)

P10 : "My spelling is not perfect. This makes me think a lot about the words and forget what I want to write." (4. Writing difficulties-4.6 Cognitive overload)

Themes (TM)	Subthemes (STM)	Number of participants	Number of times coded
	1.1 Positive feelings	7	12
	1.2 Overcoming initial concerns related to the DST	7	8
1. Positive attitudes towards writing	1.3 Enhanced content quality	13	30
writing	1.4 Multimodal communication	13	14
	1.5 Customisation	6	7
	1.6 Improved Collaboration	4	4
2. Positive self-perceptions as writers	-	9	15
	3.1 Idea development	7	12
	3.2 Mitigate transcription challenges	9	19
3. Mitigate writing difficulties	3.3 Mitigate semantic difficulties	5	5
	3.4 Boost Executive function behaviours	8	11
	3.5 Deal with cognitive overload	5	5



P13: "I felt a sense of excitement because I could combine images, sounds and the outcome was really nice" (1. Positive attitudes towards writing -1.1 Positive feelings)

P12 : "I could write much faster on the computer. Typing my ideas was less time-consuming than writing by hand, which helps me stay productive."

(2. Positive self-perceptions as writers)

P4 : "I felt more independent. I mean I could do many things on my own without your help, such as correcting my orthographic mistakes or organise my text and images. And I believe that what I wrote on the computer was better than what I wrote on paper."

(2. Positive self-perceptions as writers)

P9 : "I stuck in the middle of my story. Then, I saw the image with the ship in the clear environment which I had already put and I thought that people also live healthy in villages and I wrote it." (3.Mitigate writing difficulties- 3.1 Idea development)

Results-RQ3: To what extent does digital storytelling provide opportunities for students with dyslexia to bring their own perspectives into the multimodal writing process considering the cultural context according to the cultural dimension of Green's 3D model?

Cultural Behaviours	Baseline Median (min,max;IQR)	Intervention Median (min,max;IQR)	p-value
3.1.3.a Make meaningful decisions for the	1.0	3.5	0.001
resources based on their experiences	(1.0, 3.0; 1.0)	(2.5, 4.0; 1.0)	
(Explore topic information)			
3.1.4.a Choose modes that are making	2.0	3.5	0.001
meaning to them	(1.5, 2.0; 0.5)	(3.0, 4.0; 1.0)	
(Select modes of communication such as			
text, images, music)			
3.1.4.b. Choose modes that are making	2.0	3.5	0.001
meaning to their intended audience (Select	(1.0, 4.0; 1.0)	(3.0, 4.0; 1.0)	
modes of communication such as text,			
images, music)			
3.2.1.a. Draw on their own experiences in	2.0	3.0	0.009
the creation of the script, bringing their own	(1.0, 4.0; 1.5)	(2.0, 3.5; 1.5)	
understandings to it			
(Create plot)			
3.2.2.a. Allow feelings and thoughts to	1.50	3.0	0.002
shape the production experience (Combine	(1.0, 3.0; 1.3)	(2.5, 4.0; 0.8)	
diverse modes to make meaning)			

Themes (TM)	Subthemes (STM)	Number of participants	Number of times coded
1. Topic based on students' background	-	13	13
2.Social and cultural context	2.1 Inclusivity	5	6
	2.2 Cultural relevance	6	6
	2.3 Audience engagement	8	11
3.Emotional expression	3.1 Diverse modes for emotional expression	9	10
	3.2. Emotional impact of writing medium	8	9
4. Feedback based on students' background		6	7

P1 : "[...] They will be able to listen to it if they cannot read well and struggle, for example, if they have dyslexia like me"

> (2 .Social and cultural context-2.1 Inclusivity)

P9 : "I can't always find the words for how I feel. Sometimes, choosing the right picture shows it. It's like it speaks for me."

> (3.Emotional expression-3.1 Diverse modes for emotional expression)

P12 : "The sad guitar music was for when the story spoke about the consequences of war. All we can understand that this sound means sadness."

> (2 .Social and cultural context-2.2 Cultural relevance)

P1 : "How did I give feedback on spelling when I'm not sure how the words were written? And don't talk to me about the lexicon because it's ridiculous—it's even more difficult."
P1 : "I struggled with reading, so when I saw how clear and simple the language was in P2's story, I found it clever."
(4. Feedback based on students' Background)

UCL

Results-RQ4: To what extent does digital storytelling enhance the ability of children with dyslexia to engage in critical analysis and reflection on their writing, consistent with the critical dimension of Green's 3D model?"

Critical Behaviours	Baseline	Intervention	p-value
	Median (min,max;IQR)	Median (min,max;IQR)	
4.1.2 a. Reflect critically: why did I	1.0	4.0	0.003
choose these sites and this	(1.0, 4.0; 1.5)	(2.5, 4.0; 1.0)	
information? How do they align with			
the topic ?			
(Explore topic information)			
4.1.4.a. Why did I choose these	2.0	3.5	0.001
modes?	(1.0, 2.5; 0.5)	(2.5, 4.0; 1.0)	
(Select modes of communication,			
such as images, music, sounds)			
4.2.2.a. Reflect critically on their	1.0	4.0	0.001
choices (i.e. Why did I combine this	(1.0, 2.0; 1.0)	(2.5, 4.0; 1.0)	
image/sound with this part of the			
story?)			
(Combine diverse modes to make			
meaning)			
4.4.1.a Reflect critically on their	1.0	3.0	0.010
choices: Why did I choose these	(1.0, 4.0; 1.8)	(2.0, 4.0; 0.5)	
means?			
(Choose means to distribute their			

digital stories)

Themes (TM)	Subthemes (STM)	Number of participants	Numbers of times coded
1. Critical thinking while writing	1.1 Transcription	9	15
on paper	1.1 Text comprehension	3	3
2. Critical thinking while writing	2.1 Content quality	7	8
on DST platform	2.2 Selection of modes	6	7
	2.3 Combination of modes	8	12
	2.4 Changes within the mode	4	4
	2.5 Audience engagement	8	9
	2.6 Cognitive Resources	6	8
	Redirected Towards Critical		
	Thinking		
3. Critical self-reflection during	3.1 Awareness of errors	6	7
writing on DST platform and	3.2 Recognising areas of	5	5
paper	improvement		
4. Critical reflection on the feedback	-	7	8

P8 : "I had to think about what picture would show the calm emotions of peace and put it in the correct part within the text."

(2 .Critical thinking while writing on DST platform - 2.3 Combination of modes)

P6 : "[...] Your spelling mistakes, which were underlined and you simultaneously thought about why they are written that way[...] On paper we did not know how to find or correct them".

(3. Critical self-reflection during writing -3.1 Awareness of errors) P12: "I enlarged the picture of the wolf to show how dangerous this animal is."

(2 .Critical thinking while writing on DST platform - 2.4 Changes within the mode)

P5 : "When I heard the feedback, I thought 'does this make sense?' Then I decided if it was good or not. For instance when P9 said to change some pictures because they were repeated, I did it. She was right".

(4. Critical reflection on the feedback)



Contribution

DST Framework

- Guides educators through all DST phases: pre-production, production, and distribution.
- Adaptable and non-prescriptive, allowing differentiated learning and multimodal expression.
- Enables learners to design stories using **modes**, fostering **student voice**, **identity**, **and agency**.



Contribution

Three Core Domains of Digital Writing

- Cultural : Reflects students' identities, voices, and backgrounds.
- Critical : Promotes metacognition through story revisions and design analysis.
- Self-Regulation: Enhances planning, self-monitoring, and revising with tools like storyboards and spellcheckers.

Enhancing Student Self-Perception.

 Builds confidence in students with literacy difficulties, encouraging creativity and self-expression.



Contribution

Policymakers

- Recommends DST integration into Initial Teacher Education (ITE) and ongoing CPD.
- Calls for **resources** (e.g., access to devices) to enable universal student participation.
- Encourages public sharing of student work through exhibitions, competitions, and archives to:
- Celebrate creativity
- Offer models for teachers
- Shift perceptions of literacy



The following links include participants' digital stories:

P1

https://www.storyjumper.com/book/read/170177281/66c06730d756d#

P2

https://www.storyjumper.com/book/read/170162971/66bb82cceb16b#

P3

https://www.storyjumper.com/book/read/170461771/66b5d1330c364#



Digital storytelling: An educational approach for enhancing dyslexic children's writing skills, critical and cultural learning

Kalliopi Kritsotaki¹ 💿 | Susana Castro–Kemp² 💿 | Leda Kamenopoulou³ 💿

¹Department of Psychology and Human Development IOE, UCL's Faculty of Education and Society, London, UK

²UCL Centre for Inclusive Education (CIE), Department of Psychology and Human Development IOE, UCL's Faculty of Education and Society, London, UK

³Department of Psychology and Human Development, IOE, UCL's Faculty of Education and Society, London, UK

Correspondence

Kalliopi Kritsotaki, Department of Psychology and Human Development IOE, UCL's Faculty of Education and Society, London, UK. Email: kalliopi.kritsotaki.21@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

This paper reports an exploratory pilot study- which is part of a larger studyexamining the impact of an innovative approach to enhancing the writing skills of primary school students with dyslexia, digital storytelling (DST), linked to critical and cultural learning. The study adopted a single-subject design with a pre-experimental approach (A-B) to explore connections between the use of digital storytelling, and children's writing skills, as well as cultural and critical dimensions of learning. A socio-cultural framework, drawing on Green's 3D model, and the Not-So Simple View of Writing, informed the methodology adopted. A dual perspective aligning with the socio-cultural theory was adopted, delving into both product and process of digital storytelling. The results revealed significant improvements in writing skills, cultural and critical behaviours, pre and post intervention, with large effect sizes, suggesting this may be a promising classroom approach to improve literacy and learning in children with dyslexia.



