COMPUTERISED SUMMATIVE TESTING: ONE STEP FORWARD OR TWO STEPS BACK?

Richard Chapman University of Ferrara



Aims of the talk

Today we will take a brief look at:

- the advantages offered by computer-assisted language testing
- doubts we may have about 'examination by computer'
- the opportunities and dangers of CALT, and
- the theoretical and practical issues involved

CALT comes of age

- · Recently,
 - on-line summative tests have become widely available
 - not just placement/diagnostic or progress testing
 - certification is (quite rightly) entering the internet age
 - synergy with the way many students learn English

The advantages of CALT

Numerous benefits are claimed for computerised testing:

- logistics and administration
- greater uniformity in the test-taking experience
- tracking of all candidate behaviour (time taken, corrections etc.)
- allows for individualised tests (e.g. CAT), saving time

The advantages of CALT # 2

- test experience can be more pleasant
- greater security (copying can be virtually eliminated)
- more 'sittings' of the test per calendar year; quick results

A few caveats about CALT

CALT seems highly attractive, but some significant issues have emerged:

- identity detection is problematic
- adaptive testing is not uncontroversial:
 - > item banks MUST be very large and regularly renewed;
 - > candidates can 'get wise' quickly;
 - > the algorithm needs to be very effective

More CALT caveats

anxiety

technical issues:

- > constraint of medium (e.g. screen size)
- > standardised equipment or 'digital divide'?

A "Great Leap Forward"?

The last ten years have seen a significant development in computerised language testing.

- 2006/7: Cambridge ESOL (now CELA) offered *Main Suite* examinations in a computer-based form
- 2012: Oxford's OTE introduced. This is not merely computer-based, but a fully *on-line* examination
- Similar steps taken by other providers (e.g. TOEFL and TOIC)

The real "Great Leap Forward"?

- Summative testing uses most powerful technological developments to improve testing;
- in the <u>same medium</u> as candidates' language use (smartphones, computers and skype are real *loci* for English today);
- so, is this a great leap forward?

One step forward, two steps back?

Using CALT risks making progress with technology while regressing in testing language.

Why?

Firstly:

- * CALT offers contributions to reliability rather than validity
- * little or no attention paid to actual language content
- * in other words, these tests are <u>NOT</u> new!
- * CAT involves risks in terms of validity

One step forward, two steps back?

Secondly:

- * no attempt to exploit the capabilities of new technology
- * 'same old language' in an unnatural context
- * the medium should contribute to test design and the construct

Brief bibliography

- Cambridge English. Research Notes, Issue 51, 2013
- Cambridge English. Research Notes, Issue 22, 2005
- Hughes, A. Testing for Language Teachers. Cambridge, CUP
- Oxford University Press. 2012. The Oxford Test of English White Paper, and The Oxford Test of English White Paper Summary. Oxford, OUP
- Pathan, M.M. 2012. "Computer Assisted Language Testing [CALT]:
 Advantages, Implications and Limitations" Researchvistas.com Vol. 1 Issue 4,
 2012
- Shohamy, E. The Power of Tests. Harlow, Longman, Pearson Education, 2001