



HÖGSKOLAN
DALARNA

Do use Google Translate!

Machine translation as a tool for language learning

Barbara Bakker
Dalarna University, Sweden

Introduction

- Wide availability of Google Translate and Machine Translation
- MT excessively relied upon, used to complete assignments, plagiarism
- Integrate and exploit rather than prohibit (cf. electronic calculator)
- Evolution of MT:
from Statistical Machine Translation to Neural Machine Translation

Machine Translation and Arabic

MT to / from Arabic usually not accurate/reliable, two reasons:

1) diglossia (MSA vs "dialects"): written codified language vs spoken varieties

2) morphology & root system: system of patterns, affixes, short vowels

- مُسْتَمِعٌ mustami' listener (active participle)
- مُسْتَمَعٌ mustama' listened to (passive participle)
- اِسْتَمَعَ istama'a to listen = prefix +R1 + infix + R2 + R3

GT as language learning tool

- Empirical study, Arabic for beginners course
- Theoretical model: three-levels model of activity (Engeström & Mietinen, 2012):
 - top = "driven by an object-related motive" (the reason for doing smthg)
 - middle = "driven by a goal" (what is being achieved)
 - lowest = "driven by the conditions and tools of action at hand" (means employed to carry out the activity)
- In a language learning environment the levels are concurrent, i.e. converging aspects in any kind of course assignment
- Top and middle levels are inherently implied in the curricula (i.e. learning objectives + pedagogical implications of the assignments completion); lowest level focuses on the employment of a specific tool



GT as language learning tool: two assignments

Google Translate 1 (GT1):

- midterm assignment, right before the take home exam (i.e. 6 weeks study)
- translation of 12 English sentences into Arabic; comparison of GT's results with own translations
- sentences tested a priori and formulated so that they result in
 - incorrect translations /
 - unknown vocabulary /
 - dialectal formulations /
 - advanced unknown grammatical constructions
- instructions explaining GT's unreliability for Arabic (middle level)



GT as language learning tool: two assignments (cont'd)

Google Translate 2 (GT2):

- Close to the end of the term
- Choose a newspaper article and use GT to translate it into English/Swedish, then:
 - Account for course of action, discuss correctness/intelligibility of translation
 - Sum up the article in English/Swedish (max 30 words)
 - Identify 3 keywords and analyse morphology
- Instructions explaining GT's usefulness and showing GT's potentiality (top level)

Results

- Only 28 GT1 and 20 GT2 available for the analysis (no expressed consent)
- **GT1:** 86% of the students identified 83% of the discrepancies/differences
- Students
 - questioned GT functions to correctly translate gender agreement, demonstrative pronouns
 - reported how GT's translations changed when adjusting the English text
 - reflected on the reasons for the discrepancies (dialectal variation, vocabulary, higher register)
 - commented on GT limitations and advantages



Results (cont'd)

- **GT2:** 14 translated the article into Swedish, 6 into English
 - Students
 - Were surprised by the accuracy of GT translation
 - reported difficulties with the translating procedure
 - reported issues with word choice, proper names, spelling
 - reflected on GT's automatic transcription and audio rendering of the Arabic text
 - correctly summarized the article showing comprehension
 - identified three relevant keywords and analysed them (& reflected on the difficulty of "going-back-to-the roots")
-



Conclusion

- No claims as for generalisation:
 - too small amount of data available,
 - GT translations will eventually change (improve?)
 - Validity and reliability possibly affected by factors beyond teacher's control
 - Increased students' awareness of GT limitations in terms of language correctness
 - Students encouraged to work with authentic texts despite their limited knowledge of Arabic and to get acquainted with Arabic sources
 - Enhanced language learning process
-



HÖGSKOLAN
DALARNA

**Thank you for your
attention!**



References



HÖGSKOLAN
DALARNA

- Abdelaal, N. M. & Alazzawie, A., “Machine translation: The case of Arabic-English translation of news texts”, *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 2020, 10:4, pp. 408-418.
- Ali, M. A., “Quality and machine translation: An evaluation of online machine translation of English into Arabic texts”, *Open Journal of Modern Linguistics*, 2020, 10, pp. 524-548.
- Al-Khresheh, M. H. & Almaaytah, S. A. “English proverbs into Arabic through machine translation”, *International Journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature*, 2018, 7:5, pp. 158-166.
- Bin Damash, N., “‘I can’t live without Google Translate’: A close look at the use of Google Translate app by second language learners in Saudi Arabia”, *Arab World English Journal*, 2020, 11:3, pp. 226-240.
- Case, M., “Machine translation and the disruption of foreign language learning activities”, *eLearning Papers*, 2015, 45, pp- 4-16.
- Clifford, J., Merschel, L. & Munné, J., “Surveying the landscape: What is the role of machine translation in language learning?”, *@tic Revista d’innovació educativa*, Valencia, Universitat de Valencia, 2013, pp. 108-121.
- Ducar, C. & Schocket, D. H., “Machine translation and the L2 classroom: Pedagogical solutions for making peace with Google Translate”, *Foreign Language Annals*, 2018, 51, pp. 779-795.
- Engeström, Y. & Miettinen, R., “Introduction”, *Perspectives on Activity Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2012, pp. 1-16, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511812774.
- Ferguson, C., “Diglossia”, *Word*, 1959, 15:2, pp. 325-340, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00437956.1959.11659702>
- Groves, M. & Mundt, K., “Friend or foe? Google Translate in language for academic purposes”, *English for Specific Purposes*, 2015, 37, pp. 112-121.
- Hadj Ameer, M. S. & al., “Arabic machine translation: A survey of the latest trends and challenges”, *Computer Science Review*, 2020, 38, pp. 100305, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2020.100305>
- Harrat, S., Meftouh, K. & Smaili, K., “Machine translation for Arabic dialects (survey)”, *Information Processing and Management*, 2019, 56, pp. 262-273.
- Hellmich, E., “Machine translation in foreign language writing: Student use to guide pedagogical practice”, *ALSIC Apprentissage des Langues et Systèmes d’Information et de Communication*, 2021, 24:1, <https://doi.org/10.4000/alsic.5705>
- Mundt, K. & Groves, M., “A double-edged sword: the merits and the policy implications of Google Translate in higher education”, *European Journal of Higher Education*, 2016, 6:4, pp. 387-401.
- Ryding, K. C. “A reference grammar of Modern Standard Arabic”, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- Wu, Y. & al., “Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation”, Cornell University, arXiv:1609.08144, Cornell University, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1609.08144>