

International Conference NEW PERSPECTIVES in SCIENCE EDUCATION

Prospective primary school teachers' difficulties dealing with multiplying fraction word problems

ARNAL-BAILERA Alberto, GONZÁLEZ Antonio

University of Zaragoza, Spain

albarnal@unizar.es, gonzalezh@unizar.es



Introduction

- Technological instruments rarely become an essential part of the learning/teaching processes with Prospective Primary School Teachers (PPST).
- Traditional methods include very limited interpretations of the rational number.



Introduction

- Goals:
 - i. To analyze if our PPST are willing to include technology in their teaching activities.
 - ii. To study if our PPST are prepared to linkdifferent interpretations of the rationalnumber.

Theoretical Framework - TPACK



Theoretical Framework - TPACK



Theoretical Framework Interpretations of the Rational Number

- Part-whole
- Measure
- Quotient or division
- Operator
- Ratio





Theoretical Framework

Interpretations of the Rational Number

Part-whole

- The fraction is understood as a pair of natural numbers.
- The magnitude becomes less important.
- Difficulties dealing with improper fractions.
- The unit is not defined.
- Passive learning.



Theoretical Framework Interpretations of the Rational Number

5

6

• Quotient or division

Theoretical Framework Interpretations of the Rational Number

• Measure



Area Unit

Object to measure

The Word problem

Antonio had pizza for lunch with his friends on Monday and Thursday. On Monday they were 5 friends and shared 3 pizzas. On Thursday, they were 8 friends and shared 5 pizzas. On Monday, he gave one fourth of his food to his sister Sara, eating the rest of his lunch. On Thursday Antonio decided to eat all the food he received, but he dropped one fifth of it on the ground. Which day did Antonio eat the most?

(Note: all the pizzas are alike.)

The Word problem

Antonio had pizza for lunch with his friends on Monday and Thursday. On Monday they were 5 friends and shared 3 pizzas. On Thursday, they were 8 friends and shared 5 pizzas. On Monday, he gave one fourth of his food to his sister Sara, eating the rest of his lunch. On Thursday Antonio decided to eat all the food he received, but he dropped one fifth of it on the ground. Which day did Antonio eat the most? (Note: all the pizzas are alike.)

- Solve the problem without using arithmetic operations, but using the graphic support of the given applet (available at https://www.geogebra.org/m/b3XaeVVV). Justify your answer. (You can use as many screenshots as you want to clarify the resolution.)
- Considering your previous justifications, what could you say about the graphics used?
- Solve the problem without using any graphic strategy, just by using arithmetic operations.
- Imagine that you are preparing a mathematics class for your primary school pupils to teach them how to solve problems about comparing quantities coming from the application of operators. Describe step by step the mathematical instructions you would give to your students to teach them how to solve the given problem.

The applet

Multiplicación de fracciones gráfica

Autor: Alberto Arnal Bailera



The Word problem

- a) Solve the problem without using arithmetic operations, but using the graphic support of the given applet (available at https://www.geogebra.org/m/b3XaeVVV). Justify your answer. (You can use as many screenshots as you want to clarify the resolution.) (TCK)
- b) What could you say about the graphics used? **(TCK)**
- c) Solve the problem without using any graphic strategy, just by using arithmetic operations. **(CK)**
- d) Imagine that you are preparing a mathematics class for your primary school pupils to teach them how to solve problems about comparing quantities coming from the application of operators. Describe step by step the mathematical instructions you would give to your students to teach them how to solve the given problem. **(TPACK)**

The Word problem

d) Imagine that you are preparing a mathematics class for your primary school pupils to teach them how to solve problems about comparing quantities coming from the application of operators. Describe step by step the mathematical instructions you would give to your students to teach them how to solve the given problem. **(TPACK)**

Task d tries to integrate the mathematical parts of the problem (K) with a hypothetical explanation (P) and the GeoGebra (T). We have classified the given explanations in four categories:

- Theoretical no references to the problem conditions
 Abstract references to the elements of the problem but no to numbers
- •Concrete references to the elements of the problem including numbers
- •Complete comprehensive solution of the problem

	Т	А	Cn	Cm	
No reference in the instructions to the operator interpretation nor the meaning of the comparison	1 (0)	1 (1)	1 (1)		7%
References only to the operator interpretation	2 (2)	1 (0)	9 (6)		28%
References only to the meaning of the comparison	5 (5)	0 (0)	2 (0)		17%
References to both concepts	7 (3)	2 (0)	5 (3)	6 (3)	48%
	36%	10%	40%	14%	

Math.WRONG answers (18)	Т	A	Cn	Cm	
No reference to any concept	1	0	0		6%
Ref. only to the operator	0	1	3		22%
Ref. only to the comparison	0	0	2		11%
References to both concepts	4	2	2	3	61%
	28%	17%	39%	17%	

Math. CORRECT answers (24)	Т	А	Cn	Cm	
No reference to any concept	0	1	1		8%
Ref. only to the operator	2	0	6		33%
Ref. only to the comparison	5	0	0		21%
References to both concepts	3	0	3	3	38%
	42%	4%	42%	12%	

8 Concrete – correct – references only to operator



3 Concrete – correct – references only to operator

- Primero, representariamos la
$$(9/20 \times 10/20)$$
 s Come más
cantidad inicial. Luego, les en el 2° reparts,
explicariamos que el operador es runa cantidad
que actúa dentro de la inicial (la modifica), y entonces,
representariamos el operador dentro de esa representación inicial.
Una vez representacias las cantidades, atendiendo a los tamaños de
las subunidades, dienen que actorear o marcar la parte correspondiente
al producto de los numeradores. Por ejeuplo, representar las suburidades:
 $3/5$. \rightarrow $10/20$.

4 Theoretical – correct – references only to comparison

Pana comparar fracciones resultado de operaciones de reporto, tevenos que hacerle, vora los nucos que las que igualos o nuevador o denoumado en ambos fraccios y lo podemos hacer unhaplicado tanto el nuevador com al deno mado por la mora cantidad, ya que el reporto será el musmo pero repetudo más vecos.

5 Theoretical – correct – references only to comparison

- 1. Factorización de los denominadores
- 2. Lealizar el mínimo común múltiplo, es decir, comunes y no comunes al mayor exponente.
- 3. Adecuar cada numerador al devolucionador común.
- 4. Comprobar que nouverador es maujor para saber que fracción es mayor que la stra.

La que se hace es ignalar el tamaño de las submidades (denominador) para saber en que siocción hay más cantidad de submidades (numerador).

46 Theoretical – correct – References to both concepts

d) 1° - Definir contribuou de magnitud y el operador pre vo a modificar esa contrabau de magnitud.
2° - Hallar duicha modificación a partir de ono multiplica. endu entre esa contrabau y el operador.
3° - Igualar denominador o mulerador para patrionnente comparar las contrabues detenidas.
4° - Comparar i junctional y el es fracción

mayor o menor.

31 Concrete – correct – references to both concepts

d'Aua representar los fracciones usando la aplicación, debenán poner la fracción que representa el primez reparto donde pone "introduce la cantidad" que en ente caso sera 3/5, que indica que son 3 tortillos para 5 personas. Y donde pone "introduce el operador" debenan pener la fracción que indica la cantidad de tortilla que se come, en ente caso 3/4, que indica las tres cuartas partes del reparto. Así en la gráfica aparecera representado 3/4 de 3/5. Se realizará lo mismo con el 2º reparto y aní podran compararlo gráficamente.

30 Concrete – wrong – references to both concepts

d) SI codo testille se divide en 8 bistor () hey 5 testiller
$$\frac{1}{3}$$
 + $\frac{1}{3}$ + $\frac{1$

30 Complete – wrong – references to both concepts

d) Primero se les leeña el eurraido. Analitado pote por porte se correctaria explicando le expression "3 tortillos para 5 pessoros" con el signette dubujo. to gue reabe 1 les mostranos les stortilles del reporto y específicanos con los 5 porticipantes, després mastrances la que reate une persona pora llegar así al apayo grafico que nos muestra Geogrebia y poder uperado Ahara introducinos la zguide porte del probleua "el operador" ma cartidad que modificará la que ya terenco. Entonces y ahora cogenos to de con el grafico de 3 esa cartidad, por lo que fraccionomos en 4 De esta manera mestra tortille ha sido avvidido en 20 priles de los cuales cogereuros 3 portes de la morma adquiriendo dos soluciones une que serà lo que come Sara (3) y otra lo que cove Antorio (17) con de reports realizado el juevos repetiníanos el mismo pacesos, pero con otros contidados

Deben represente la contrabad de tartilla que recibe la persone que participal en el reporte el contrabal de tartilla que conce internio de la contrabad de tartilla criacial de tartilla que conce internio de la contrabad de tartilla criacial de cado uno de las cluías considerado las unidoles que se aprecion (La que no conce Sora, y la que se cado al suelo). Camporar la contrabad de tartille que conce internio codo aluía.

- Students with more complete instructions had worse mathematical answers.
- Students with a better domain of the mathematical content had Pedagogical difficulties.

- Very few couples decided to use technology.
- Only two couples considered solving the problem in two different ways
- None proposed to check the answer by solving it in two different ways.
- Ten of the couples missed any interpretation of the rational number other than a formal explanation of the arithmetic operations.

- They emphasized the most difficult mathematical aspects, mainly the operator interpretation and the meaning of the comparison.
- From this point of view they may have thought that comparison is easier to be understood by a primary school kid than the operator interpretation. Moreover, instructions about comparison are shown to be more theoretical than the ones about the operator interpretation.

Consequences for teaching training in mathematics education

- To include tasks covering all the TPACK subdomains.
- To combine different interpretations of the rational number.
- To promote the use of one technique by making more difficult the use of the others. It means, for example, we have to use higher figures in the activities to promote the use of GeoGebra by making more difficult for them the use of other techniques.

Consequences for teaching training in mathematics education

- To include actual answers of primary school kids to analyze errors and give tips to correct them by using different techniques.
- To ask for an analysis of the mathematical content before writing the instructions.
- To include role-playing activities with prospective teachers to make them understand better that, when designing instructions, they should focus in pupils' troubles rather than in their own ones.



International Conference NEW PERSPECTIVES in SCIENCE EDUCATION

Prospective primary school teachers' difficulties dealing with multiplying fraction word problems

ARNAL-BAILERA Alberto, GONZÁLEZ Antonio

University of Zaragoza, Spain

albarnal@unizar.es, gonzalezh@unizar.es

