



Reimagining the Student Experience through Engagement, Rigor and Support

Ana De Jesus¹

¹New York City Public Schools, United States

¹Fordham University, United States

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive, research-aligned model designed to support gifted and high-achieving students through systems that strengthen instruction, expand equitable access, and provide sustained academic and social-emotional supports. The initiatives implemented—including collaborative professional learning, continuous coaching cycles, redesigned Advanced Placement pathways, and enhanced student support structures—demonstrate how coordinated schoolwide efforts elevate instructional quality and foster meaningful inclusion in advanced coursework. Together, these strategies illustrate how rigor, equity, and targeted supports can coexist to promote long-term student success within high-performing academic environments.

Keywords: support, peer mentoring, access

Introduction

Supporting students' learning is critical to their overall achievement, especially within gifted and high-achieving populations. Research demonstrates that gifted students require consistent exposure to challenging and complex tasks to avoid disengagement and underachievement [11]. These students benefit from environments that promote cognitive depth, autonomy, and opportunities for advanced problem-solving, while still receiving targeted support that nurtures their social-emotional and academic needs [9]. As a school leader working with this student population, my challenge was to balance rigorous expectations with individualized support systems that enabled all learners to thrive.

To achieve this, I implemented several interlocking initiatives. First, I developed a structured system of professional learning for teachers that included lesson study, mentoring, and individualized coaching. Teacher collaboration is one of the most effective drivers of school improvement, increasing collective efficacy and enhancing instructional quality [6]. Research on lesson study consistently shows that collaborative cycles of planning, observing, and reflecting lead to improved instructional practice and deeper pedagogical knowledge [10]. As a result, teachers in our building engaged in ongoing professional reflection and expanded their instructional strategies through collegial dialogue.

Second, I instituted a cycle of evaluative and non-evaluative observations designed to provide timely, actionable feedback. Instructional coaching research indicates that job-embedded coaching, grounded in structured pre-observation meetings, observation, and post-observation feedback sessions, significantly enhances teaching effectiveness and increases implementation of evidence-based practices [9]. Frequent, specific feedback is a critical factor in teacher growth, and these cycles helped ensure that instructional improvement remained a consistent schoolwide focus.

Third, to promote equity and expand access to rigorous coursework, I redesigned our Advanced Placement (AP) enrollment system by removing recommendation-based gatekeeping and developing AP course pathways beginning in ninth grade. National studies reveal longstanding inequities in AP enrollment for Black, Hispanic, and low-income students, even within schools



offering numerous AP options [2]. The College Board has long advocated for open access and expanded pathways as strategies to increase equity and college readiness [3]. By restructuring our approach, we opened doors for students previously excluded from advanced academic opportunities and fostered a mindset that values growth, challenge, and long-term learning over narrow outcome metrics.

To support students' success within these advanced pathways, I established peer-mentoring programs, tutoring structures, and counseling supports. Peer mentoring has been shown to improve academic performance, increase student persistence, and strengthen students' sense of belonging during critical academic transitions [4]. These structures helped ensure that all students—especially those new to AP coursework—received ongoing academic and social-emotional support.

Finally, I revised the schoolwide grading policy by extending marking periods and requiring all teachers to integrate performance-based assessments. Research shows that performance assessment enhances students' higher-order thinking, provides more authentic demonstrations of learning, and aligns with best practices in formative assessment [4]. Longer grading cycles also allow teachers to receive meaningful feedback and give students the time necessary to engage in iterative practice and revision—critical elements of deep learning. This shift aligned assessment with instructional goals and created more equitable and meaningful measures of student mastery.

REFERENCES

- [1] California Learning Resource Network. (2025, July 2). How to support gifted and talented students in the classroom? <https://www.clrn.org/how-to-support-gifted-and-talented-students-in-the-classroom/>
- [2] Chatterji, R., Campbell, N., & Quirk, A. (2021). Closing advanced coursework equity gaps for all students. Center for American Progress. <https://www.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2021/07/AdvancedCoursework-report1.pdf>
- [3] Demmons, L., & Heron, B. (2010). Equity and access: Using AP Potential to support traditionally underserved students. College Board. <https://secure-media.collegeboard.org/digitalServices/pdf/ap/equity-and-access-november-20.pdf>
- [4] Double, K. S., McGrane, J. A., & Hopfenbeck, T. N. (2019). The impact of peer assessment on academic performance: A meta-analysis of control group studies. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(3), 481–509. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09510>
- [5] Graham, M., Wayne, I., Persutte-Manning, S., Pergantis, S., & Vaughan, A. (2022). Enhancing student outcomes: Peer mentors and student transition. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1363722.pdf>
- [6] Hargreaves, A. (2021). Teacher collaboration: Impacts on student learning and school effectiveness. Center for the School of the Future. <https://cehs.usu.edu/csf/files/teacher-collaboration-pb-8-2025.pdf>
- [7] Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (2025). Improving teacher performance through instructional coaching. Institute of Education Sciences. <https://ies.ed.gov/rel-pacific/2025/01/infographic-8>
- [8] National Center for Systemic Improvement. (2025). The coaching cycle: How coaches can support teachers and improve student outcomes. https://ncsi.wested.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/NCSI_How-Using-a-Coaching-Cycle-Can-Help-Coaches-to-Support-Teachers-and-Improve-Student-Outcomes_FINAL_ADA.pdf
- [9] Reis, S. M., & Renzulli, J. S. (2011). Challenging gifted and talented learners with a continuum of research-based interventions and strategies. In *The Oxford handbook of school psychology* (pp. 456–482). Oxford University Press.
- [10] Richit, A., Ponte, J. P., & Tomkelski, M. L. (2024). Professional collaboration among elementary school teachers in lesson study. *Journal of Research in Mathematics Education*, 13(2), 111–131.
- [11] Ridgley, L. M., Rubenstein, L. D., & Callan, G. L. (2022). Are gifted students adapting their self-regulated learning processes when experiencing challenging tasks? *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 66(1), 3–22.