



Phonological Short-Term (PSTM) and Reading Fluency in Greek: Typical and Dyslexic Readers in Inclusive Classrooms

Panagiota Kotsoni

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Abstract

Literacy and learning to read is foundational with reading fluency and comprehension to be essential skills. Reading fluency is considered a prerequisite for reading comprehension acting as a “bridge” between decoding and comprehension (De Jong & Van der Leij 2002). Research has indicated reading fluency to be the most reliable measure to discriminate typical children and adolescents from readers with reading disorders (Protopapas & Skaloumbakas, 2008). Further, poor PSTM is associated with poor reading skills in the first language (Talli, et al., 2016) and foreign language Kotsoni (2021) in dyslexic children. This study examined the association between PSTM and reading fluency in 72 children (49 typically developing and 23 dyslexic) aged 10-13 in inclusive classrooms. The research questions formulated were whether PSTM, as assessed in a NWR task, a) correlated and b) predicted first language reading fluency in both typically developing and dyslexic children and c) differed significantly between the two groups. Pearson correlations, univariate regression analysis, and independent-samples T-tests were conducted. Results indicated that PSTM was significantly associated and predicted reading fluency in the first language and that PSTM differed significantly between typically developing and dyslexic children. The importance of PSTM is indicated regarding reading fluency in both typical and non-typical young readers with implications for research and practice.

Keywords: *Dyslexia, phonological short-term memory, Greek, reading fluency, young learners*

1. Introduction

The ability to read is characterized by the related literature as a crucial foundation of literacy skills and the initial goal set by educators and educational systems around the world (Kotsoni & Talli, 2024). While young students, during their early education steps, begin to learn to read, they start developing skills such as phonemic awareness, decoding, reading fluency and then reading comprehension (Talli, Kotsoni, Stavrakaki, Sprenger-Charolles, 2023). Reading fluency is defined as “*the ability to read accurately and quickly*” (Kotsoni & Talli, 2024: 2) while precise and fast reading skills of a linked text, which is accepted as text reading or oral reading, has been indicated to constitute a strong predictive factor to reading comprehension (e.g. Kuhn & Stahl, 2003; Hudson, Pullen, Lane, & Torgesen, 2009; Kim, Petscher, Foorman, & Zhou, 2010; as cited in Kim et al., 2014; Kotsoni & Talli, 2024). Consequently, as an essential requirement leading to effective reading comprehension skills, reading fluency remains a crucial characteristic of the “learning to read” process (Ehri, 2017; Sprenger-Charolles, Colé & Serniclaes, 2006; as cited in Kotsoni & Talli, 2024) that also stays highly associated with the skills of decoding and reading comprehension during the early years of primary education in children (Ecalte, Dujardin, Gomes, Cros & Magnan, 2021; Fuchs, Fuchs, Hosp & Jenkins, 2001; Nevo, Vaknin-Nusbaum, Brande & Gambrell, 2020; Kotsoni & Talli, 2024).

2. Reading Fluency and Phonological Short-Term Memory

The first component of reading fluency, accuracy is defined as the skill to accurately be able to decode words and speed, the second component, speed, refers to how quickly a child can read the words accurately. It is widely accepted that reading fluency combines skills both at a lexical level, (e.g. recognition of words) and at a text level (words-in-context) (Rasinski, Reutzel, Chard & Linan-Thomson, 2012; Fuchs et al., 2001; Kuhn et al., 2010; as cited in Kotsoni & Talli, 2024) and is regarded as being “the bridge between decoding and reading comprehension” (De Jong & Van der Leij 2002; Kim, Park & Wagner, 2014; Kotsoni & Talli, 2024:2). What is more, reading fluency is considered as the most reliable measure to diagnose and differentiate young and teenage children with reading disabilities with impairment in reading from typical teenagers (Protopapas & Skaloumbakas, 2007, 2008).



Phonological short-term memory can be defined as the processing capacity of the phonological component of Baddeley & Hitch's (1974; Baddeley, 2003) multicomponent model of working memory and is indicated to be a strong learning predictor (Alloway, 2009; Maehler & Schuchardt, 2016; as cited in Kotsoni, 2026) as there is evidence illustrating the relationship between phonological skills and children's abilities to learn new words, to learn to read and to comprehend language (Maridaki-Kassotaki, 2002; Gathercole & Baddeley, 1993b).

A widely accepted task to measure phonological STM capacity is the non-word repetition task (NWR), despite the fact that researchers, in the related literature have consistently stated the NWR tasks tap many other language processes, such phonological encoding, phonological memory, speech perception, phonological assembly and articulation (Coady & Evans, 2008; Talli et al., 2023).

3. NWR and Reading Fluency in Typical and Dyslexic Children

As regards the relationship between NWR and reading fluency skills, research has showed that children's performance on NWR tasks has been correlated to reading skills (Conti-Ramsden and Durkin, 2007) and poor NWR performance has been correlated to poor reading skills such as decoding and reading fluency in dyslexic children (Talli et al., 2015, 2016) while children with a reading impairment have been found to have weak phonological STM (e.g., Fischbach et al., 2014; Schuchardt, K., Böckmann, A.-K., Bornemann, G., & Mähler, C. 2013; Talli et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the role of NWR has been investigated as an early predictor for the identification of at-risk children facing reading disorders. The study by Catts et al. (2015) showed that a battery of tests (letter naming fluency, phonological awareness, rapid naming) that also included a NWR task, administered to 366 children at kindergarten age, predicted their reading fluency skills and were successful identifiers of good and poor readers at the first grade class (263 children). Further, the study by Rapala and Brady (1990) has showed that phonological processes are less accurate in poor readers compared to typical ones. For example, a NWR task significantly predicted phonological awareness skills (Erskine et al., 2020). In addition, Elhassan et al. (2017) indicated that phonological awareness was a significant contributor only to dysfluent children aged 9-12, suggesting that when reading automaticity is accomplished, the skills of phonological awareness do not affect reading skills (cited in Talli et al., 2023). Further, Kotsoni (2021) indicated that a NWR task significantly predicted foreign language vocabulary learning in both typical and dyslexic children aged 9-12.

As far as the Greek language is concerned, it is considered to be a transparent language with the research in NWR tasks to be restricted, though, with not much attention to be paid to the diagnostic importance of examining NWR skills in typical children (e.g., Masoura, 2006; Masoura & Gathercole, 1999) and in non-typical children, with DLD, and SLD, in their native language (e.g., Talli et al., 2016; Mengisidou & Marshall, 2019; Talli & Stavrakaki, 2020) and in the foreign language (Kotsoni, 2021, 2026). Additionally, research has indicated that TD children's NWR performance differed significantly compared to children with learning disabilities with impairment in reading (Kotsoni, 2021, 2026; Talli et al., 2016).

4. Aim & Research Questions

The aim of this study is to examine the association between phonological STM, assessed in a NWR task, and reading fluency in 72 Greek children aged 10-13 in their first language. The research questions are presented below:

1. Does phonological STM correlate with typical and dyslexic children's reading fluency in their first language?
2. Does phonological STM predict typical and dyslexic children's reading fluency in their first language?
3. Does phonological STM differ significantly in the typical and dyslexic children?

5. Methodology

All participants were assessed individually by the researcher in a quiet room. Details regarding their age, typical or non-typical development, assessment, tools, and short description of the procedure are presented in Chapters 5.1 and 5.2. The data were analyzed using the SPSS 29 program and the results are presented in Chapter 6.



5.1. Participants

The participants of this study were 72 children aged 10-13. After assessment, 49 children were indicated as being typically developing students while 23 of them were indicated as having a reading impairment (dyslexia).

5.2. Procedure

All children were administered: a) the NWR task (Talli, et al., 2023) screening test to assess their phonological STM, b) the Giro Giro Oli (Kotsoni & Talli, 2024) to assess and discriminate typical children and children with dyslexia, and c) Raven's colored Progressive Matrices (Sideridis, Antoniou, Mouzaki, & Simos, 2015) to assess the participants' non-verbal IQ so that mental retardation was excluded.

6. Results

The first research question regarded the associations between phonological STM, through the administration of a NWR task, and children's reading fluency in their native language, Greek. Pearson correlations tests were conducted and the results indicated that phonological STM was significantly correlated to typical and dyslexic children's reading fluency aged 10-13.

The second research question was with regard to phonological STM being a predictor of reading fluency in children's first language. Univariate regression analyses were performed and findings showed that phonological STM significantly predicted typical and dyslexic children's reading fluency as well.

The third research question investigated any statistically significant differences between typical and dyslexic children's phonological STM. Independent-samples T-tests were conducted. The results indicated that phonological STM was significantly different between the two groups of children, typical and dyslexic ones.

7. Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the relation between phonological STM through the assessment of a NWR task and reading fluency in the first language. The research questions were fully confirmed by the findings.

More specifically, the first research question investigated the associations between phonological STM and children's reading fluency in their first language, Greek. The findings showed that phonological STM was significantly associated with reading fluency in both groups of children aged 10-13, typical and dyslexic ones receiving education in an inclusive environment. These findings are consistent with Maridaki-Kassotaki (2002) study, who found a strong relationship in Greek children aged Further, this study extends these findings to students aged 10-13 years old while also to readers with a reading disorder (dyslexia). In addition, this study is in accordance with Talli et al. (2023) study that reported a significant relationship between NWR and reading fluency scores in typically developing children.

The second research question examined whether phonological STM was a significant predictor of reading fluency in children's first language. The findings indicated that phonological STM significantly predicted typical and dyslexic children's reading fluency in their first language, Greek and the findings are consistent with Talli et al. (2023) in which a significant prediction between NWR and reading fluency was reported in a group of typical 387 Greek children. Further, this study corroborates the results of previous studies (e.g., Conti-Ramsden and Durkin, 2007; Schuchardt et al., 2013) with both typical and non-typical populations indicating the predictive value of phonological STM to reading fluency skills.

The third research question investigated whether there is a statistically significant difference between typical and dyslexic children's phonological STM. The results indicated that phonological STM was significantly different between the two groups of children, typical and dyslexic ones. These findings are consistent with previous studies by Kotsoni (2021, 2026), Talli et al., (2023) and Talli et al., (2016) in which significant differences were registered in Greek children aged 7-13.

Overall, these results are important as phonological STM, examined in a NWR task, is associated with reading fluency extending previous evidence for the Greek language in both typical and dyslexic children aged 10-13. Considering the contributing implications of this study, it adds to the assessment and screening field, and a NWR task may be suggested to be included along with reading fluency assessments in a broader assessment battery without it being presented as a diagnostic tool for reading disorders, though. As regards the limitations and future research, this study would be important to be



replicated with a larger sample of both typical and dyslexic children, and more importantly with older children of broader age groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baddeley, A. D., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working memory. In G. A. Bower (Ed.), *Recent advances in learning and motivation* (Vol. 8, pp. 47–89). Academic Press.
- [2] Baddeley, A. (2003). Working memory: Looking back and looking forward. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 4(10), 829–839.
- [3] De Jong, P. F., & van der Leij, A. (2002). Effects of phonological abilities and linguistic comprehension on the development of reading. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 6(1), 51–77.
- [4] Catts, H. W., Nielsen, D. C., Bridges, M. S., Liu, Y. S., and Bontempo, D. E. (2015). Early identification of reading disabilities within an RTI framework. *J. Learn. Disabil.* 48, 281–297. doi: 10.1177/0022219413498115
- [5] Coady, J. A., and Evans, J. L. (2008). Uses and interpretations of non-word repetition tasks in children with and without specific language impairments (SLI). *Int. J. Lang. Commun. Disord.* 43, 1–40. doi: 10.1080/13682820601116485
- [6] Conti-Ramsden, G., and Durkin, K. (2007). Phonological short-term memory, language and literacy: Developmental relationships in early adolescence in young people with SLI. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* 48, 147–156. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01703.
- [7] Elhassan, Z., Crewther, S. G., and Bavin, E. L. (2017). The contribution of phonological awareness to reading fluency and its individual sub-skills in readers aged 9-to 12-years. *Front. Psychol.* 8:533. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00533
- [8] Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Hosp, M. K., & Jenkins, J. R. (2001). Oral reading fluency as an indicator of reading competence: A theoretical, empirical, and historical analysis. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 5, 239–256.
- [9] Ecalte, J., Dujardin, E., Gomes, C., Cros, L., & Magnan, A. (2021). Decoding, fluency and reading comprehension: Examining the nature of their relationships in a large-scale study with first graders. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 37(5), 444–461.
- [10] Ehri, L. C. (2017). Orthographic mapping and literacy development revisited. In K. Cain, D. L. Compton, & R. K. Parrila (Eds.), *Theories of Reading Development* (pp. 169–190). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- [11] Erskine, M. E., Munson, B., and Edwards, J. R. (2020). Relationship between early phonological processing and later phonological awareness: Evidence from nonword repetition. *Appl. Psycholinguistics* 41, 319–346. doi: 10.1017/S0142716419000547.
- [12] Fischbach, A., Könen, T., Rietz, C. S., and Hasselhorn, M. (2014). What is not working in working memory of children with literacy disorders? Evidence from a three-year-longitudinal study. *Read. Writ.* 27, 267–286. doi: 10.1007/s11145-013-9444-5
- [13] Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., Hosp, M. K., & Jenkins, J. R. (2001). Oral reading fluency as an indicator of reading competence: A theoretical, empirical, and historical analysis. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 5, 239–256.
- [14] Gathercole, S.E., & Baddeley, A.D. (1993b). *Working memory and language*. Hove, UK: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [15] Hudson, R. F., Pullen, P. C., Lane, H. B., & Torgesen, J. K. (2009). The complex nature of reading fluency: A multidimensional view. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 25, 4–32.
- [16] Hudson, R. F., Torgesen, J. K., Lane, H. B., & Turner, S. J. (2012). Relations among reading skills and sub-skills and text-level reading proficiency in developing readers. *Reading and Writing*, 25, 483–507.
- [17] Kotsoni, P. A. (2021, August 15–20). *Learning disabilities, learning style, and phonological short-term memory: Predictive factors for foreign language vocabulary learning in inclusive classrooms*. 19th AILA World Congress, virtual conference hosted from Groningen, the Netherlands.
- [18] Kotsoni, P. & Talli, I. (2024) με τίτλο "Assessing reading fluency in Greek: New normative data from the Greek version of a French reading test".
- [19] Kotsoni, P. (2026). *Testing L2 vocabulary teaching strategies in children with and without learning disabilities* (Publication No. 62257) [Doctoral dissertation, Aristotle University of



- Thessaloniki]. National Archive of PhD Dissertations.
<https://phdtheses.ekt.gr/eadd/handle/10442/62257>
- [20] Kim, Y.-S., Park, C. H., & Wagner, R. K. (2014). Is oral/text reading fluency “a bridge” to reading comprehension? *Reading and Writing*, 27, 79-99.
- [21] Kuhn, M. R., Schwanenflugel, P. J., & Meisinger, E. B. (2010). Aligning theory and assessment of reading fluency: Automaticity, prosody, and definitions of fluency. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 45, 230–251.
- [22] Maridaki-Kassotaki, K., (2002). The relation between phonological memory skills and reading ability in Greek-speaking children: can training of phonological memory contribute to reading development? *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 17, 63–75.
- [23] Masoura, E. V., and Gathercole, S. E. (1999). Phonological short-term memory and foreign language learning. *Int. J. Psychol.* 34, 383–388. doi: 10.1080/002075999399738
- [24] Masoura, E. V. (2006). Establishing the link between working memory function and learning disabilities. *Learn. Disabil. Contemp. J.* 4, 29–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2019.104789
- [25] Mengisidou, M., and Marshall, C. R. (2019). Deficient explicit access to phonological representations explains phonological fluency difficulties in Greek children with dyslexia and/or developmental language disorder. *Front. Psychol.* 10:638. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00638
- [26] Nevo, E., Vaknin-Nusbaum, V., Brande, S., & Gambrell, L. (2020). Oral reading fluency, reading motivation and reading comprehension among second graders. *Reading and Writing*, 33, 1945-1970.
- [27] Protopapas, A., & Skaloumbakas, C. (2007). Traditional and computer-based screening and diagnosis of reading disabilities in Greek. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 40(1), 15–36
- [28] Protopapas, A., & Skaloumbakas, C. (2008). Assessment of reading fluency for the identification of reading difficulties. *Psychologia*, 15, 267-289.
- [29] Rasinski, T. V., Reutzel, D. R., Chard, D., & Linan-Thompson, S. (2012). Reading fluency. In M. L. Kamil, P. D. Pearson, E. Birr Moje, & P. Afflerbach (Eds.), *Handbook of Reading Research*, Vol. IV (pp. 286–319). New York: Routledge.
- [30] Schuchardt, K., Böckmann, A.-K., Bornemann, G., & Mähler, C. (2013). Working memory functioning in children with learning disorders and specific language impairment. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 33(4), 298–312. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.TLD.0000437943.41140.36>
- [31] Sideridis, G., Antoniou, F., Mouzaki, A., and Simos, P. (2015). The Greek Standardization of Raven Progressive Matrices (Raven, 2003). Athens: Motivo Axiologisi.
- [32] Talli, I., Sprenger-Charolles, L., & Stavrakaki, S. (2016). Specific language impairment and developmental dyslexia: What are the boundaries? Data from Greek children. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 49–50, 339–353.
- [33] Talli, I., Sprenger-Charolles, L., and Stavrakaki, S. (2015). “Is there an overlap between Specific Language Impairment and Developmental Dyslexia? New insights from French,” in *Specific Language Impairment. Current Trends in Research (LALD) 58*, ed. S. Stavrakaki (Amsterdam: John Benjamins), 57–87.
- [34] Talli, I., and Stavrakaki, S. (2020). Short-term memory, working memory and linguistic abilities in bilingual children with Developmental Language Disorder. *First Lang.* 40, 437–460. doi: 10.1177/0142723719886954