The Impact of Crisis and Strategic Communication on University Image: International Case Studies in Higher Education

Tereza Trencheva[1], Evelina Zdravkova[2], Ivan Trenchev[3]

University of Library Studies and Information Technologies[1 2 3], Bulgaria
South West University "Neofit Rilski"[3], Bulgaria

Abstract

In the contemporary global and digitally mediated environment, higher education institutions operate under increasing public scrutiny and reputational vulnerability. Universities are no longer evaluated solely through academic performance, rankings, or research productivity, but also through their capacity to communicate effectively with diverse stakeholder groups. Consequently, crisis communication and strategic communication have become central components of institutional governance, directly influencing trust, credibility, and long-term competitiveness.

This study examines the role of crisis and strategic communication in shaping university image through a comparative analysis of international case studies from Europe, North America, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. The main objective of the research is to explore how different communication approaches—proactive, preventive, reactive, and ineffective—affect public perception, stakeholder confidence, and institutional resilience in both stable and crisis contexts.

The research is grounded in established theories of crisis communication and reputation management (Coombs, 2015; Fombrun, 1996), as well as contemporary perspectives on university branding and organizational identity in higher education (Wæraas & Solbakk, 2009). Methodologically, the study applies a qualitative comparative case-study approach, drawing on academic literature, official institutional statements, media reports, and publicly available communication materials. The analysis focuses on key dimensions such as transparency, response timing, message framing, stakeholder engagement, and post-crisis recovery strategies.

The findings reveal that universities implementing long-term strategic communication models—characterized by consistency, ethical governance, and value-based messaging—demonstrate significantly higher reputational stability. Examples of effective communication highlight the importance of aligning institutional narratives with academic mission and social responsibility. In contrast, cases marked by delayed responses, limited transparency, or defensive communication practices tend to experience prolonged reputational damage and erosion of public trust.

The study further indicates that crisis communication cannot function effectively as an isolated or purely reactive mechanism. Instead, it must be integrated into a broader strategic communication framework embedded within institutional culture. Universities that maintain continuous stakeholder dialogue and preparedness are better positioned to manage crises and sustain credibility in dynamic media environments.

The paper concludes that communication should be understood as a strategic organizational resource in higher education. By integrating crisis preparedness, strategic planning, and ethical communication practices, universities can strengthen institutional identity, enhance resilience, and secure long-term reputational sustainability in an increasingly complex global academic landscape.
Please do not use headers and footnotes.

Keywords: *crisis communication; strategic communication; university image; reputation management; higher education*

1. Introduction

Contemporary higher education is developing under conditions of intensified competition, digitalisation, and growing public sensitivity to institutional transparency and accountability. Universities are subject to constant media and public scrutiny, and their communication practices – both in routine operations and in crisis situations – have a direct impact on the trust of students, academic staff, partners, and society at large [1, 2, 3].

Within this context, **strategic communication** is understood as a systematic process aimed at building a sustainable brand and institutional identity, while crisis communication seeks to limit reputational damage during extraordinary events. The theoretical framework of this study draws on models such as the **Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT),** which emphasise the importance of timely, appropriate, and context-sensitive responses.

2. Methodology

The study is based on a **qualitative comparative analysis of international case studies,** with the primary objective of identifying patterns, interdependencies, and recurring practices in the management of strategic and crisis communication in higher education. The methodological design combines a case-study approach with elements of comparative and interpretative analysis.

The empirical base includes **more than twenty cases** from diverse geographical and political - cultural contexts: **Europe** *(University of Warwick, University of Stirling, Central European University),* **North America** *(University of California, Davis),* **Asia** *(National University of Singapore, universities in Hong Kong),* **Africa** *(Moi University, Nelson Mandela University, University of South Africa),* **Latin America** *(Tecnológico de Monterrey, Universidad de los Andes),* and the **Middle East** *(Lebanese University, Middle East University, Amman Arab University).* This broad geographical selection enables an analysis of communication practices across different institutional and societal environments.

Data sources include: official university press releases and strategic documents; content from institutional websites and social media platforms; academic publications and scholarly research in the field of strategic and crisis communication; media reports and investigative journalism from national and international sources; as well as publicly available data on enrolment figures, graduate employability, and participation in international rankings. Particular attention is paid to cases where quantitative indicators of the effects of communication strategies are available, such as increases in applications, levels of social media engagement, or declines in enrolment following crisis events.

The analysis is conducted according to predefined criteria derived both from the theoretical framework of Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) and from the specific characteristics of the examined cases. The following aspects are assessed: *(1) the speed and timeliness of the communication response; (2) the degree of transparency and assumption of responsibility; (3) the consistency between communicated messages and actual institutional actions; (4) the communication channels used and their cultural and digital relevance; and (5) the short- and long-term effects on university reputation [4, 5].*

Within the comparative analysis, the cases are provisionally divided into two main groups: examples of successful strategic communication, where communication efforts lead to increased trust, visibility, and competitiveness; and examples of unsuccessful or problematic crisis communication, characterised by delayed responses, limited transparency, or attempts to control or conceal information. This typology enables the identification of generalised patterns and good practices, as well as common mistakes in the management of university reputation.

A methodological limitation of the study arises from the reliance on secondary sources and publicly available information, which does not allow for a full reconstruction of internal decision-making processes. Nevertheless, the wide range of cases and sources provides a sufficient analytical basis for formulating valid conclusions regarding the role of strategic and crisis communication in shaping university image.

3. Strategic Communication: Comparative Findings

The analysis of strategic communication indicates that universities with a stable and positive public image implement long-term, targeted, and culturally adapted communication strategies that are directly linked to tangible benefits for their key audiences. In such cases, communication does not function in isolation as a marketing tool but is integrated with the academic mission, governance, and societal role of the institution.

An illustrative example of this approach is the University of Warwick (United Kingdom), which has developed a strategy aimed at enhancing the employability of international students, particularly those from China. Communication efforts are closely linked to concrete institutional actions, including the establishment of partnerships with employers, the organisation of career forums in China, and the maintenance of active communication channels through platforms such as WeChat. This strategy demonstrates a high level of integration between communication, academic policy, and career development, resulting in increased trust and an enhanced international reputation [6, 7].

A similar logic is observed at the University of Stirling, which implements a localised digital strategy for the Chinese market through platforms such as WeChat, Douyin, and Bilibili. The campaign, developed in cooperation with a local communication agency, shows a significant increase in engagement and interest among prospective students. In this case, strategic communication is characterised by a clearly defined target audience, cultural sensitivity, and the use of measurable performance indicators, allowing for an objective evaluation of outcomes.

The global branding strategies of the National University of Singapore and Tecnológico de Monterrey illustrate another model of strategic communication, in which institutional identity is constructed through storytelling centred on innovation, entrepreneurship, and societal impact. NUS's "Shape the Future" campaign integrates digital and traditional channels and presents the university as an active contributor to shaping the future, while Tec de Monterrey places students and alumni at the centre of its communication narrative. In both cases, strategic communication is supported by tangible achievements—research outputs, start-ups, and industry partnerships—which enhances its credibility [8, 9, 10].

In summary, successful strategic communication in the university context is characterised by a long-term orientation; integration between communication, academic policy, and social responsibility; cultural and digital adaptation; and clearly defined, measurable outcomes.

4. Crisis Communication: Patterns of Failure and Resilience

In contrast to successful models, numerous cases illustrate the negative consequences of inadequate crisis communication. The 2011 incident at the University of California, Davis, involving the use of force against protesting students, has become a paradigmatic example of failure, in which attempts to control and "clean up" online content further exacerbated public perceptions. Similar problems are observed in universities in Africa and the Middle East, where financial misconduct, academic fraud, and lack of transparency lead to long-term erosion of trust.

Crisis situations are particularly complex in politically sensitive contexts such as Hong Kong or Hungary. In these cases, universities are compelled to balance academic freedom, state pressure, and public expectations, with communication often needing to be supported by legal and diplomatic actions.

The analysis of crisis communication reveals significant differences in university responses to reputation-threatening events. Case studies demonstrate that speed, transparency, and the assumption of responsibility are decisive factors in limiting negative effects on public trust.

The University of California, Davis (2011) incident represents a clear example of unsuccessful crisis communication. Following the use of force against student protesters, the university's communication response was perceived as delayed and defensive. Subsequent attempts to manage online reputation by removing or suppressing negative content further undermined trust, as they were interpreted as concealment rather than institutional accountability. This case confirms SCCT's conclusion that denial

or minimisation strategies under conditions of high perceived responsibility result in more severe reputational damage.

Similar patterns of problematic crisis communication are evident in financial and governance scandals, such as the case of Moi University (Kenya). There, the leadership's response was largely reactive and followed media revelations, without a pre-established strategy for transparency and stakeholder dialogue. The absence of systematic communication regarding corrective measures contributed to declining enrolments and long-term distrust [11].

Crises related to academic integrity, as observed at Lebanese University, highlight the need for multilayered communication. Limiting responses solely to disciplinary measures, without clearly explaining causes or publicly committing to systemic reforms, proves insufficient for restoring trust. In such cases, crisis communication must be complemented by accountability, external oversight, and long-term institutional change.

Crises in politically sensitive environments, such as the protests in Hong Kong or legislative pressure against Central European University, are particularly challenging. Here, communication is constrained by external factors and requires a balance between security, academic freedom, and international legitimacy. The cases demonstrate that even well-structured communication strategies cannot always prevent institutional losses but can preserve academic reputation and international support [12, 13, 14].

Overall, the analysis of crisis communication shows that sustainable management of university reputation requires preparedness, transparency, and willingness to assume responsibility. Attempts to control or conceal information often intensify crises, whereas open dialogue and consistent actions create conditions for restoring trust.

5. Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis of the examined cases allows for the identification of clearly distinguishable patterns of communicative behaviour and their consequences for university reputation. The primary difference between successful and unsuccessful examples lies not in the presence or absence of crises but in the way institutions integrate communication into their overall governance and value systems.

Universities implementing effective strategic communication – such as the University of Warwick, the University of Stirling, the National University of Singapore, and Tecnológico de Monterrey – demonstrate consistency between communication messages and actual institutional practices. In these cases, communication functions as a tool for explanation, legitimisation, and amplification of real academic and social achievements. Common elements include audience-specific orientation, the use of culturally relevant channels, and systematic measurement of outcomes.

In contrast, universities facing reputational crises – such as the University of California, Davis, Moi University, or Lebanese University – often adopt reactive, fragmented communication focused on controlling the public narrative. This approach results in a mismatch between institutional actions and public expectations, reinforcing perceptions of opacity and institutional irresponsibility. Notably, in these cases even organisational measures lose legitimacy due to the lack of clear, consistent, and timely explanation [15, 16, 17].

Politically sensitive cases, such as those in Hong Kong and at Central European University, reveal additional complexity. Here, communication is heavily constrained by external factors, and reputational risk arises not only from institutional actions but also from geopolitical context. Comparison shows that in such situations communication can preserve international academic credibility even when it fails to prevent structurally or politically adverse outcomes.

In summary, the comparative analysis confirms that a sustainable university image is built through strategic rather than reactive communication, in which transparency, accountability, and alignment between values and actions play a central role [18].

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

The analysis of international case studies demonstrates that strategic and crisis communication should be viewed as interrelated elements of comprehensive institutional governance. Universities with a stable public image invest in long-term communication strategies integrated with academic mission, governance practices, and institutional social responsibility.

The main conclusions of the study can be summarised as follows. First, transparency and timeliness of communication emerge as decisive factors in maintaining public trust. Attempts to conceal or minimise problems almost inevitably lead to increased reputational damage. Second, cultural and contextual adaptation of communication messages significantly enhances their effectiveness, particularly in international environments. Third, communication is most successful when supported by real and measurable institutional actions rather than symbolic gestures or purely marketing messages.

Based on these findings, several recommendations can be formulated for university administrations. Universities should develop and maintain predefined crisis communication plans, including scenarios for different types of crises – academic, financial, governance-related, and political. It is also necessary to establish permanent mechanisms for internal and external accountability that facilitate transparent communication when problems arise.

Strategic communication should be institutionalized as a governance function rather than perceived solely as a marketing activity. This requires close interaction between leadership, academic communities, and communication teams. In addition, the active use of digital and localized channels should be combined with systematic measurement of the effects of communication strategies.

In conclusion, university reputation is formed through a long-term process in which strategic communication builds trust and legitimacy, while crisis communication tests their resilience. Institutions that successfully combine transparency, responsibility, and strategic planning are better prepared to adapt to the dynamic and often conflict-ridden environment of contemporary higher education.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] Coombs, W. T. (2015). Ongoing crisis communication: Planning, managing, and responding (4th ed.). Sage.

[2] Fombrun, C. J. (1996). Reputation: Realizing value from the corporate image. Harvard Business School Press.

[3] Wæraas, A., & Solbakk, M. N. (2009). Defining the essence of a university. Higher Education, 57(4), 449–462.

[4] Thorson, Kjerstin. (2013) Strategic Communication. // Oxford Bibliographies. DOI: 10.1093/obo/9780199756841-0007). Available at URL: www.oxfordbibliographies.com/display/document/obo-9780199756841/obo-9780199756841-0007.xml?rskey=nWAIBp&result=221&print

[5] Coombs, W. T. (2022). Refining and clarifying a cognitive-based theory of crisis communication. In W. T. Coombs & S. J. Holladay (Eds.), The Handbook of Crisis Communication, pp. 193–204

[6] Coombs, W. T., & Holladay, S. J. (2022). Situational crisis communication theory: Refining and clarifying a cognitive-based theory of crisis communication (Chapter 14). In W. T. Coombs & S. J. Holladay (Eds.), The Handbook of Crisis Communication (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119678953.ch14

[7] Liu, Hexiang, Shuang Zheng, (2025) Adapting to Crisis: Social Media Behavior and Strategic Shifts of Chinese Cinema Chains During COVID-19, SAGE Open, 10.1177/21582440251363720, 15

[8] Page, Tyler G., Brooke Fisher Liu, Anita Atwell Seate (2025) The Twin Pillars of Crisis Communication: Protecting Safety and Building Healthy Relationships, Journal of Public Relations Research, 10.1080/1062726X.2025.2513803, 37, 4, pp. 412-421

[9] Xia, Mingkun. (2025). Consumer Response and Corporate Crisis Communication Strategies in Brand Crisis Events: A Case Study of Samsung Galaxy Note 7, Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences, 10.54097/m49bqk77, 49, pp. 33-39

[10] Ray, Elizabeth C., Patrick F. Merle, Kaylin Lane (2024). Generating Credibility in Crisis: Will an AI-Scripted Response Be Accepted?, International Journal of Strategic Communication, 10.1080/1553118X.2024.2435494, 19, 2, pp. 158-175

[11] Claeys, A.S. and Coombs, W.T. (2020). Organizational crisis communication: suboptimal crisis response selection decisions and behavioural economics. Communication Theory 30 (3): 290 – 309.

[12] Coombs, W.T. (2017). Revisiting situational crisis communication theory: the influences of social media on crisis communication theory and practice. In: Social Media and Crisis Communication (ed. L. Austin and Y. Jin), 21 – 38. New York: Routledge.

[13] Fediuk, T.A., Pace, K.M., and Botero, I.C. (2010). Crisis response effectiveness: methodological considerations for advancement in empirical investigation into response impact. In: The Handbook of Crisis Communication (ed. W.T. Coombs and S.J. Holladay), 221 – 242. Chichester, UK, Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell.

[14] Grunig, James E., & Hunt, Todd. (1984). Managing public relations. Holt, Rinehart and Winston. ISBN 0030583373, 9780030583377. 550 pages

[15] Hutton, J. G. (1999). The definition, dimensions, and domain of public relations. Public Relations Review, 25(2), 199–214. ISSN 1180 – 9210.

[16] Institute for Public Relations. (n.d.). PRSA Code of Ethics. Retrieved from https://www.prsa.org/professional-development/prsa-resources/ethic

[17] Thorson, Kjerstin. Strategic Communication. // Oxford Bibliographies. 30 September 2013. DOI: 10.1093/obo/9780199756841-0007). Достъпен на URL: www.oxfordbibliographies.com/display/document/obo-9780199756841/obo-9780199756841-0007.xml?rskey=nWAIBp&result=221&print

[18] Coombs, W. Timothy. Refining and Clarifying a Cognitive-Based Theory of Crisis Communication. The Handbook of Crisis Communication. Wiley Online Library. Chapter 14. Book Editor(s): W. Timothy Coombs, Sherry J. Holladay. First published: 25 October 2022 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119678953.ch14). Available on URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781119678953.ch14